RESEARCH ARTICLE

Isolation and Characterization of Polyethylene and Polyethylene Terephthalate-degrading Bacteria from Jakarta Bay, Indonesia



¹Department of Environmental Engineering, University of Bakrie, Kuningan, Jakarta 12920, Indonesia

Abstract:

Introduction: Plastic is resistant to natural breakdown because of its intricate structure, which features long and repeated molecular chains. As a result, a variety of plastic waste, mostly made of polyethylene (PE) and polyethylene terephthalate (PET), accumulates in Jakarta Bay. The use of microorganisms to degrade plastic trash has emerged as a highly promising bioremediation strategy.

Methods: The goal of this research is to find microorganisms capable of digesting plastic in the samples of seawater and sediment obtained from Muara Angke Jakarta Bay. The bacteria were grown on Zobell Marine Agar (ZMA) that had been treated with 2% polyethylene glycol (PEG). The bacteria were then selected based on their capacity to degrade PE and PET microplastics in a liquid medium. The ability to degrade was determined by measuring the optical density (OD) at 600 nm and the decrease in plastic dry weight after a 14-day incubation period.

Results: Seven bacterial isolates capable of decomposing PE and PET were found during the isolation and screening methods. The WJ1 outperformed other isolates in the degradation of PE and PET, with degradation rates of 4.5% and 6.5%, respectively.

Conclusion: According to 16S rRNA analysis, five bacterial species have been identified as playing a part in the process of plastic degradation: *Vibrio alginolyticus, Pseudoalteromonas caenipelagi, Microbulbifer pacificus, Pseudoamonas marincola,* and *Bacillus subtilis.* The ability of these bacteria to biodegrade plastics represents an opportunity to effectively remove persistent pollutants from the environment.

Keywords: Characterization, Isolation, Jakarta bay, Microplastics, Polyethylene, Polyethylene terephthalate.

© 2024 The Author(s). Published by Bentham Open.

This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International Public License (CC-BY 4.0), a copy of which is available at: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/legalcode. This license permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

*Address correspondence to this author at the Department of Environmental Engineering, University of Bakrie, Kuningan, Jakarta 12920, Indonesia;

E-mail: azizi.aqil@gmail.com

Cite as: Azizi A, Fairus S, Sari D. Isolation and Characterization of Polyethylene and Polyethylene Terephthalatedegrading Bacteria from Jakarta Bay, Indonesia. Open Biotechnol J, 2024; 18: e18740707280343. http://dx.doi.org/10.2174/0118740707280343231208102253

1. INTRODUCTION

There is a significant prevalence of plastic pollution in the Earth's oceans [1, 2]. According to available reports, an estimated annual influx of plastic debris into the ocean ranges from 4.8 to 12.7 million tons [3]. The issue of plastics in the marine environment is becoming increasingly concerning due to their long-lasting presence and the negative impact they have on the oceans, wildlife, and potentially humans. Furthermore, following exposure to weathering, mechanical abrasion, and ultraviolet radiation, the significant plastic material may undergo fragmentation, resulting in particles smaller than 5 mm in diameter. These particles are commonly referred to as microplastics [4].

The vast majority (46.5% by weight) of plastic pollution consists of two types of plastic: polyethylene (PE) and polyethylene terephthalate (PET) [5]. Because of the nonhydrolyzable covalent links in its carbon-carbon backbone [6], PE is very resistant to mechanisms that break it down.



Received: September 05, 2023 Revised: October 29, 2023

Accepted: November 21, 2023

Published: February 06, 2024

CC

Send Orders for Reprints to reprints@benthamscience.net

(†)

BY



OPEN ACCESS

PET, a semi-aromatic thermoplastic co-polymer resin, is a member of the polyester family [7]. The primary chain's distinctive characteristics are derived from its composition of heteroatoms and aromatic groups. In many ways, PE and PET are interchangeable. Some examples are a high molecular weight, a low density, a lengthy hydrocarbon chain, and a high tensile strength. They are nonbiodegradable, resistant to physical and chemical breakdown, and have a low permeability to gases [8-10].

One possible method for converting non-biodegradable waste petro-plastics into recyclable monomers is the degradation of plastics by microbial and enzymatic activities. Plastics can also be mineralized through these processes, vielding carbon dioxide, water, and new biomass as byproducts. This modification can result in the creation of significant bioproducts, as previous research [11-13] has demonstrated. Microorganisms secrete extracellular enzymes to break down plastic throughout the biodegradation process. Once attached to the plastic, these enzymes trigger hydrolysis and the production of shorter polymer intermediates on the plastic's surface. Microorganisms use these intermediaries as a source of carbon, which ultimately results in the production of carbon dioxide. Despite the synthetic nature of plastics, numerous microbes that are able to metabolize them have been discovered in recent years [14].

Recent research that identified and measured the amount of microplastics in the coastal water and sediment of Jakarta Bay showed that there are a lot more microplastics in Jakarta Bay than in other water areas in Indonesia. The two most common types of microplastic polymers were PE and PET [15]. Based on the amplicon sequencing of the 16S rRNA gene, the data from the same area also show a wide range of sulfur-oxidizing bacteria colonializing in two types of microplastics. Sulfurovum sp., chemolithoautotroph bacterium, colonizes and а dominates the entire bacterial population [16]. It has been suggested that a subset of the microbial population that colonizes plastics may be able to degrade plastics and use them as a carbon matrix because some marine bacteria are capable of breaking down hydrocarbons that have a chemical composition similar to plastics [17]. In this study, we conducted a screening and isolation process to identify bacteria capable of degrading microplastics. The samples used for this investigation were collected from both seawater and sediment in the Muara Angke Jakarta Bay, which is known to be contaminated by plastic waste.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1. Sampling

Samples of seawater and sediment have been taken from the coastal region of Muara Angke, located in Jakarta Bay (Fig. **1a**, **b**). A total of 100 g of sediment samples were collected with the Eijmen grab sampler from a depth of 30 cm below the water's surface, utilizing purposive random sampling. The sediment is placed in a plastic ziplock bag and labeled with the location, time, and date of collection. A 20 L water sample was also obtained and filtered many times with a plankton net to get a 1 L seawater sample. All samples were placed in a cold box at $4^{\circ}C$ for further analysis in the laboratory.

2.2. Isolation and Characterization of Bacteria

Both seawater and sediment were subjected to the dilution series. From dilutions 10^{-6} , 10^{-7} , and 10^{-8} of sediment and seawater samples, $100 \ \mu$ L were taken and spread in a petri dish containing Zobell Marine Agar (ZMA), to which 2% polyethylene glycol (PEG) had been added to investigate the ability of isolates to grow in a plastic-containing environment. The dish was then incubated for 48 hours at 37° C. A series of successive inoculations were conducted on ZMA media supplemented with 2% PEG until a culture of high purity was achieved. The examination of colonies and cells involved the observation of their morphology, specifically focusing on characteristics, such as color, shape, edge, and elevation.

2.3. Biodegradation Test

The bacteria were then re-inoculated as pure cultures on fresh ZMA liquid media and cultured at room temperature for 14 days in a 150-rpm shaker. For the microplastic degradation test, 10% of the culture was inoculated into a 250 ml Erlenmeyer flask containing 100 ml of ZMA liquid medium and 0.5 g of 2.4 mm-diameter PE and PET microplastics. Bacterial growth was assessed using a spectrophotometer to measure the optical density at 600 nm. Microplastics were cleaned with 70% ethanol before being dried. An analytical balance was used to weigh the microplastics. The formula [18] is used to calculate the percentage breakdown of plastic waste samples by bacterial culture:

Percentage of Degradation (%) =
$$\frac{\text{wi-wf}}{\text{wi}} \ge 100\%$$

wi = Dry weight before degradation (g); wf = Dry weight after degradation (g)

2.4. DNA Sequence Analysis

The GeneAll DNA exgene CV cell was utilized for DNA extraction. 16S rRNA gene amplification was carried out primer pairs usina the 27F (5' -AGAGTTTGATCMTGGTCCAG-3') 1492R (5' and TACGGHTACCTTGTTACGACTT-3'). Amplification was carried out with a PCR reaction composition consisting of 3 µl DNA template, 12.5 µl DreamTaqTM Green PCR Master Mix (2x) (Thermo Scientific, Lithuania), 1 µl forward primer, 1 µl reverse primer, and 7.5 µl nucleasefree water, for a total of 25 ul. The PCR amplification process was carried out in the Arctic Thermal Cycler (Thermoscientific) with pre-denaturation conditions at 96 °C for 5 minutes, denaturation at 96 °C for 30 seconds, attachment at 55 °C for 30 seconds, elongation process at 72 °C for 60 seconds, and final elongation at 72 °C for 7 minutes. The PCR process lasts for 30 cycles. The amplification results were then purified and sequenced using the services of Genetika Science Indonesia. The sequences were aligned with representative reference

sequences (version 1.83) using CLUSTAL X. Phylogenetic trees were created with the MEGA program (version 69.05). Neighbor-joining tree topology was assessed using a 1,000-repeat bootstrap technique [19].

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1. Isolation of Bacteria Capable of Degrading PE and PET

Based on the results of repeated culture and purification, 10 bacterial isolates with the capacity to break down microplastics were found in seawater and sediment samples. Numerous factors, including the presence of organic matter and environmental conditions like salinity, pH, temperature, depth, and current speed, might contribute to variations in the number of bacterial isolates in a given location. The plastic monomer PEG is added to the isolation medium to determine the ability of microbes to degrade plastic. It can be presumed that bacteria that grow in a medium containing PEG have the ability to degrade microplastics.

Bacterial colony observations revealed morphological

differences across bacterial isolates (Table 1). The variation in bacterial colony growth implies that the bacterial isolates were most likely from different bacteria. Based on the observations, two bacterial isolates were white, four bacterial isolates were clear white, two bacterial isolates were yellowish white, one bacterial isolate was milky white, and one bacterial isolate was creamy. The bulk of bacterial colony isolates were circular, with only two exceptions being irregular in shape. Microscopic cell morphological studies were carried out in addition to macroscopic observations to identify cell shape and differentiate gram-positive and gram-negative bacteria. Gram-negative and gram-positive staining is a method of categorizing bacteria based on the peptidoglycan content of their cell walls. The peptidoglycan layer in gram-positive bacteria is thicker, whereas the peptidoglycan layer in gram-negative bacteria is thinner. Gram-positive bacteria retain a rich purple color following alcohol washing, whereas gram-negative bacteria do not [20, 21]. All of the bacterial cell isolates in this study were basil-shaped and gram-negative.



Fig. (1). The sampling site was situated in the coastal area of Muara Angke, Jakarta Bay. More specific study locations are denoted by white arrows (a). The environment and the conditions of the sampling site (b).

Isolate		Cell Morphology					
	Size	Pigmentation	Shape	Edge	Elevation	Shape	Gram
SJ1	Medium	Yellowish white	Circular	Flat	Droplets	Bacil	-
SJ2	Medium	Clear white	irregular	Curved	Flat	Bacil	-
SJ3	Medium	Clear white	Circular	Wavy	Flat	Bacil	-
SJ4	Small	Creamy	Circular	Flat	Flat	Bacil	-
SJ5	Medium	Clear white	Circular	Curved	Flat	Bacil	-
SJ6	Medium	Clear white	irregular	Wavy	Flat	Bacil	-
SJ7	Medium	White	Circular	Curved	Convex	Bacil	-
WJ1	Medium	Milky white	Circular	Curved	Flat	Bacil	-
WJ2	Large	Yellowish white	Circular	Wavy	Convex	Bacil	-
WJ3	Medium	White	Circular	Wavy	Droplets	Bacil	-

Table 1. Morphological characterization of bacterial isolates.

3.2. Selection of Bacteria with the Capability of Degrading PE and PET

Only seven of the ten bacterial isolates isolated on ZMA media containing 2% PEG exhibited optimal growth, namely SJ1, SJ3, SJ4, SJ7, WJ1, WJ2, and WJ3. The seven bacterial isolates were tested for their ability to degrade microplastics. OD was used to measure the growth of seven bacterial isolates on media containing PE and PET microplastics for 14 days, as shown in Fig. (**2a**, **b**). On day 6 in both PE and PET-containing media, 2 isolates (WJI and SJ3) exhibited maximal growth. Meanwhile, isolate WJ2 reached its maximum growth on both forms of media on day 8. Initial inoculation did not result in optimum growth for both isolates SJ1 and WJ3. The growth of bacteria in media containing plastic polymers and several other nitrogen elements indicates that these microbes can utilize polymers to meet their carbon requirements in metabolic processes by releasing catalytic enzymes that can damage polymer structure [20].

3.3. Microplastic Biodegradation

In this study, the ability of bacterial isolates to degrade microplastics was enhanced by the fact that the bacteria isolated originated from areas with plastic waste, including microplastics, and that 2% PEG was added to the isolation medium. The biodegradation test was done by finding the percentage difference between the starting and ending weights of the PE and PET microplastics in the medium with bacteria (Table 2).



Fig. (2). Graph of OD values. Inoculation with PE (a); Inoculation with PET (b).

	PE				PET			
Isolate	Initial dry weight (g)	Final dry weight (g)	Subtraction (g)	% Degradation	Initial Dry Weight (g)	Final Dry Weight (g)	Subtraction (g)	% Degradation
SJ1	0.522	0.52	0.002	0.4	0.502	0.5	0.002	0.4
SJ3	0.537	0.517	0.02	3.7	0.515	0.49	0.025	4.9
SJ4	0.525	0.512	0.013	2.5	0.527	0.505	0.022	4.2
SJ7	0.542	0.527	0.015	2.8	0.535	0.512	0.023	4.3
WJ1	0.515	0.492	0.023	4.5	0.528	0.495	0.033	6.3
WJ2	0.509	0.498	0.011	2.2	0.548	0.518	0.03	5.5
WJ3	0.553	0.55	0.003	0.3	0.513	0.513	0	0

Table 2. Biodegradation test of bacterial isolates in degrading PE and PET.

Based on the tests conducted over a period of 14 days, it was observed that a number of microplastic samples exhibited a decrease in weight relative to their starting weight. There are five bacterial isolates that possess the capability to participate in PE and PET microplastic degradation processes. These isolates are identified as SJ3, SJ4, SJ7, WJ1, and WJ2. The respective percentages of PE degradation for these isolates are 3.7% (SJ3), 2.5% (SJ4), 2.8% (SJ7), 4.5% (WJ1), and 2.2% (WJ2). Similarly, the percentages of PET degradation for these isolates are 4.9% (SJ3), 4.2% (SJ4), 4.3% (SJ7), 6.3% (WJ1), and 5.5% (WJ2). In contrast, the degradation results of isolates SJ1 and WJ3 were found to be insignificant since there was no observed drop in their initial weight, as seen in Table 2. Based on the provided data, it is noticeable that WJ1 demonstrates the highest degree of degradative activity among the isolates.

The substrate is one of many elements that can affect the degradation process. The substrate refers to the composition and size of the constituent compounds present. If the size of the substrate is reduced and the complexity of the constituent compounds is decreased, the rate of degradation will be accelerated. Additionally, factors like a polymer's chemical composition, molecular weight, and degree of crystallinity all affect how guickly it biodegrades. Polymers are characterized by their molecular structure, which consists of both crystalline regions and amorphous regions. The presence of these irregular groups in the polymer structure imparts flexibility to the material. When the degree of crystallinity in a polymer, such as PE, is significantly elevated (95%), it results in a rigid material that exhibits limited resistance to impact.

In contrast, plastic derived from PET exhibits a notable degree of crystallinity, ranging from 30% to 50%. This characteristic significantly impedes microbial degradation, resulting in an estimated degradation period of approximately 50 years within the natural environment. However, when PET plastic is disposed of in marine ecosystems, its degradation time extends to hundreds of years. The decrease in temperature and the limited supply of oxygen have been identified as contributing factors [14]. The study of bacteria breaking down PE is much less advanced than that of breaking down PET, and breaking down with a single strain or enzyme is still not good enough for industrial use [22].

The findings of this study indicate that PE microplastic substrates exhibit a lower degradation value in comparison to PET microplastic degradation values. This disparity is believed to be the underlying cause for the limited degradation activity observed in some bacterial isolates when exposed to PE microplastics. During the initial stages of the degradation process, the polymer undergoes conversion into monomers, which then undergo mineralization. Due to their size, the majority of polymers are unable to traverse cell membranes, necessitating their mineralization into smaller monomers prior to being assimilated and metabolized by microbial cells. The mineralization process typically leads to alterations in the characteristics of the polymer, including the breaking, transformation, or synthesis of new chemical bonds within the polymer structure. The process of depolymerization involves the breakdown of intricate plastic polymers into smaller units, such as monomers, dimers, and oligomers. This breakdown is facilitated by depolymerase enzymes, can be found both extracellularly which and intracellularly. Consequently, these molecules possess the ability to be readily transported into bacterial cells, serving as both an energy and carbon source [23].

3.4. Identification of Bacteria Capable of Degrading PE and PET

Based on the results of the 16S rRNA gene identification, it was observed that three isolates exhibited a similarity level of over 99%. As per the guidelines proposed by [24], the criteria utilized for species identification entail assessing sequence similarity, with a minimum threshold of 99% and an optimal threshold of 99.5%. In order to achieve identification at the genus level, percentages ranging from 97% to 98% are commonly employed. One isolate was identified to share the same genus as the reference species, perhaps indicating the presence of a novel species [25]. In addition, it is worth noting that one isolate exhibited dissimilarity at both the species and genus levels, as its similarity score fell below 97%. Consequently, this particular bacterial isolate may potentially represent a novel species, necessitating further investigation for proper identification (Table 3). The findings of the phylogenetic analysis, which utilized the Neigbor Joining technique and relied on 16S rRNA sequences, are presented in Fig. (3).



0.050

Fig. (3). Phylogenetic relationships between bacteria capable of degrading PE and PET. The Jukes-Cantor model was used to determine the phylogenetic distances between the sequences, and the tree was built using the neighbor-joining method with a bootstrap replication value of 1000x. The numbers at the nodes represent the bootstrap score (in percentage) for frequencies that are at or above the 50% threshold.

Isolate	Accession Number	Scientific Species Name	Quary Cover (%)	Similarity Rate (%)
SJ3	MT325883.1	Vibrio alginolyticus	98	99.10
SJ4	MT348162.1	Pseudoalteromonas caenipelagi	99	96.33
SJ7	NR_115928	Microbulbifer pacificus	100	99,39
WJ1	KY940349.1	Pseudomonas marincola	99	99,01
WJ2	ON514287.1	Bacillus subtilis	99	98.25

Table 3. Results of nucleotide base alignment analysis of the 16S rRNA gene with the nucleotide database in GenBank.

One of the bacteria that degrade PE and PET in this study is classified under the genus of Vibrio. Vibrio is a type of bacteria that has a rod-shaped shape, grows in mesophilic conditions, needs chemoorganotrophic food, and can also use anaerobic metabolism. Its cell walls are Gram-negative. *Vibrios* are commonly observed in agueous habitats, particularly marine environments, and are recognized for their ability to thrive either independently or as symbiotic organisms with aquatic animals [26]. In this study, Vibrio sp. was isolated and subsequently identified as *V. alginolyticus*. In a study conducted by [27], it was documented that a consortium of Vibrio sp., specifically V. alginolyticus and V. parahemolyticus, was identified as being capable of degrading low linear density polyethylene (LLDPE) combined with 30% polyvinyl alcohol [28-34].

The strains of *Pseudoalteromonas* found in this study are 96% similar to Pseudoalteromonas caenipelagi, which suggests that they probably evolved separately, mostly at the genus level. Therefore, additional research is necessary. The *Pseudoalteromonas* genus comprises bacteria that are gram-negative, heterotrophic, aerobic, and motile. It is capable of synthesizing extracellular enzymes, antibiotics, and polysaccharides as well as exhibits the ability to thrive in low-temperature conditions and demonstrates a notable tolerance to high salinity levels [34]. In a study conducted by [35], it was shown that the presence of Pseudoalteromonas was detected in samples of PE plastic through the utilization of a metabarcoding technique. Pseudoalteromonas species exhibit a broad distribution throughout many maritime habitats, including sediments, coastal regions, and prior According to studies estuaries. [36], Pseudoalteromonas caenipelagi has been documented in coastal marine environments.

Microbulbifers are frequently found in environments with high salinity, such as mangrove soils, coastal sediments, and marine habitats [28-30]. *M. Pacificus* was found in maritime habitats throughout Korea in a previous study [31]. Similarly, another study [32] discovered *M. salipaludis* in coastal environments in Saudi Arabia. The capacity of the *Microbulbifer* genus to degrade LLDPEtype PE has been demonstrated through the observation of morphological alterations on the surface of the PE material [33].

Pseudomonas is a genus of bacteria that can be found in a variety of environmental niches, including soil, water, and plants [37, 38]. *P. marincola* has been detected in numerous marine habitats, according to a study undertaken by [39]. The previous study [36] indicates that some species of Pseudomonas exhibit biodegradation activity towards low-density polyethylene (LDPE), resulting in a degradation rate of up to 20% over a period of 120 days. Meanwhile, P. alcaligenes, a bacterium isolated from plastic-polluted soil, has been found to break down PE by 20% over a 30-day period [40]. *Pseudomonas* possess the capacity to synthesize serine hydrolase. esterase, and lipase enzymes, which have the capability to break down plastic materials. The enzymatic degradation of plastic trash is most effective when there are no environmental inhibitors that impede enzyme activity (20). Pseudomonas demonstrate an active capacity to adhere to and establish a biofilm on the surface of plastic trash as part of the biodegradation process.

Bacteria belonging to the genus *Bacillus*, specifically *Brevibacillus* spp., have the ability to degrade PE in order to acquire carbon sources. *Brevibacillus* species have the ability to form biofilms on the surface of plastic materials. The process of plastic deterioration is estimated to occur over a period of three weeks, leading to a decrease in the dry weight of the plastic by 37.5% [41]. A study [42] investigated the degradation of polypropylene (PP) using *Bacillus* sp. and *Rhodococcus* sp. The results indicated that the strain of *Bacillus* sp. obtained a weight loss of 6.4% over a period of 40 days, while the strain of *Rhodococcus* sp. produced a weight loss of 4%.

The predominant bacteria species reported in the previous study on the seawater and sediments of Muara Angke, Jakarta Bay, were not the same as the isolates obtained in this study. According to previous studies, *Sulfurovum lithotrophicum* is the most prevalent microbe colonized in PE and PET microplastics in Muara Angke, Jakarta Bay, an area extensively polluted by plastic and hydrocarbon pollutants such as oil, diesel, and gasoline [17]. Meanwhile, no elemental sulfur or thiosulfate was added to the culture medium used in this study to serve as the sole source of electrons for the sulfur-oxidizing bacteria. This explains why sulfur-oxidizing bacteria do not proliferate.

CONCLUSION

A screening and isolation process was conducted to identify bacteria capable of degrading microplastics in seawater and sediment from Muara Angke Jakarta Bay. Over 14 days, microplastic samples showed a decrease in weight. Five bacterial isolates, SJ3, SJ4, SJ7, WJ1, and WJ2, were found to participate in PE and PET microplastic

degradation activities. These isolates showed varying percentages of PE and PET degradation. SI1 and WI3 showed no significant degradation, while WI1 showed the highest degrading activity (4.5% and 6.3% for PE and PET, respectively). Five bacterial species, Vibrio alginolyticus, Pseudoalteromonas caenipelagi, Microbulbifer pacificus, Pseudomonas marincola, and Bacillus subtilis, are identified in plastic degradation. Future studies should further improve polymer degradation efficiency and explore various pretreatment strategies. Furthermore, specific mechanisms of microorganisms involved in plastic biodegradation also need to be thoroughly investigated.

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

PE	=	Polyethylene
ГĽ	-	i oiyetiiyiene

- PET Polyethylene Terephthalate =
- ZMA Zobell Marine Agar =
- OD = **Optical Density**

CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION

Not applicable.

AVAILABILITY OF DATA AND MATERIALS

The data and supportive information are available within the article.

FUNDING

This research was funded by the Grant of the Directorate General of Higher Education (DIKTI) Republic of Indonesia 2023. It was also supported in part by Kurita Asia Research Grand (22Pid114) provided by Kurita Water and Environment Foundation.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare no conflict of interest, financial or otherwise.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Declared none.

REFERENCES

- [1] Jambeck JR, Geyer R, Wilcox C, et al. Plastic waste inputs from land into the ocean. Science 2015; 347(6223): 768-71. http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1260352 PMID: 25678662
- [2] Lamb JB, Willis BL, Fiorenza EA, et al. Plastic waste associated with disease on coral reefs. Science 2018; 359(6374): 460-2. http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.aar3320 PMID: 29371469
- [3] Barnes DKA, Galgani F, Thompson RC, Barlaz M. Accumulation and fragmentation of plastic debris in global environments. Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci 2009; 364(1526): 1985-98. http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2008.0205 PMID: 19528051
- [4] Hale RC, Seeley ME, La Guardia MJ, Mai L, Zeng EY. A global perspective on microplastics. J Geophys Res Oceans 2020; 125(1): e2018JC014719. http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2018JC014719
- [5] Geyer R, Jambeck JR, Law KL. Production, use, and fate of all plastics ever made. Sci Adv 2017; 3(7): e1700782. http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.1700782 PMID: 28776036
- [6] Bombelli P, Howe CJ, Bertocchini F. Polyethylene bio-degradation by caterpillars of the wax moth Galleria mellonella. Curr Biol 2017; 27(8): R292-3.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2017.02.060 PMID: 28441558

- [7] Koshti R, Mehta L, Samarth N. Biological recycling of polyethylene terephthalate: A mini-review. J Polym Environ 2018; 26(8): 3520-9. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10924-018-1214-7
- [8] Ghosh SK, Pal S, Ray S. Study of microbes having potentiality for biodegradation of plastics. Environ Sci Pollut Res Int 2013; 20(7): 4339-55

http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11356-013-1706-x PMID: 23613206

- [9] Gewert B, Plassmann MM, MacLeod M. Pathways for degradation of plastic polymers floating in the marine environment. Environ Sci Process Impacts 2015; 17(9): 1513-21. http://dx.doi.org/10.1039/C5EM00207A PMID: 26216708
- [10] Joo S, Cho IJ, Seo H, et al. Structural insight into molecular mechanism of poly(ethylene terephthalate) degradation. Nat Commun 2018: 9(1): 382. http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-02881-1 PMID: 29374183
- [11] Grima S, Bellon-Maurel V, Feuilloley P, Silvestre F. Chem In form Abstract: Aerobic biodegradation of polymers in solid-state conditions: A review of environmental and physicochemical parameter settings in laboratory simulations. Chem In form 2010; 33

http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/chin.200241290

- [12] Montazer Z, Habibi Najafi MB, Levin DB. Microbial degradation of low-density polyethylene and synthesis of polyhydroxyalkanoate polymers. Can J Microbiol 2019; 65(3): 224-34. http://dx.doi.org/10.1139/cjm-2018-0335 PMID: 30485122
- [13] Montazer Z, Habibi Najafi MB, Levin DB. Challenges with verifying microbial degradation of polyethylene. Polymers 2020; 12(1): 123. http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/polym12010123 PMID: 31948075
- [14] Mohanan N, Montazer Z, Sharma PK, Levin DB. Microbial and enzymatic degradation of synthetic plastics. Front Microbiol 2020; 11:580709
 - http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2020.580709 PMID: 33324366
- [15] Azizi A, Setyowati WN, Fairus S, Puspito DA, Irawan DS. Microplastic pollution in the sediment of Jakarta Bay, Indonesia. IOP Conf Ser Earth Environ Sci 2021; 930(1): 012010. http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/930/1/012010
- [16] Azizi A, Raffasya MF, Fairus S, Sari DA. Microbial colonization of microplastics in the coastal water of Jakarta Bay. Aquacult Aquarium Conserv Legis 2023; 16(3): 1499-507.
- [17] Rosato A, Barone M, Negroni A, et al. Microbial colonization of different microplastic types and biotransformation of sorbed PCBs by a marine anaerobic bacterial community. Sci Total Environ 2020; 705: 135790. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.135790 PMID.

31972939

- [18] Auta HS, Emenike CU, Fauziah SH. Screening of Bacillus strains isolated from mangrove ecosystems in Peninsular Malaysia for microplastic degradation. Environ Pollut 2017; 231(Pt 2): 1552-9. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2017.09.043 PMID: 28964604
- [19] Nadeem H, Alia KB, Muneer F, et al. Isolation and identification of low-density polyethylene degrading novel bacterial strains. Arch Microbiol 2021; 203(9): 5417-23. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00203-021-02521-1 PMID: 34402947
- [20] Agustien A, Jannah M, Djamaan A. Screening polyethylene synthetic plastic degrading-bacteria from soil. Pharm Lett 2016; 8(7): 183-7.
- [21] Loredo-Treviño A, Gutiérrez-Sánchez G, Rodríguez-Herrera R, Aguilar CN. Microbial Enzymes Involved in Polyurethane Biodegradation: A Review. J Polym Environ 2012; 20(1): 258-65. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10924-011-0390-5
- [22] Gao R, Sun C. A marine bacterial community capable of degrading poly(ethylene terephthalate) and polyethylene. J Hazard Mater 2021; 416: 125928. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2021.125928 PMID: 34489083
- [23] Mohan K. Microbial deterioration and degradation of polymeric materials. J Biochem Technol 2011; 2(4): 210-5.
- [24] Janda JM, Abbott SL. 16S rRNA gene sequencing for bacterial

identification in the diagnostic laboratory: pluses, perils, and pitfalls. J Clin Microbiol 2007; 45(9): 2761-4. http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/JCM.01228-07 PMID: 17626177

- [25] Reller LB, Weinstein MP, Petti CA. Detection and identification of microorganisms by gene amplification and sequencing. Clin Infect Dis 2007; 44(8): 1108-14. http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/512818 PMID: 17366460
- [26] Gao ZM, Xiao J, Wang XN, Ruan LW, Chen XL, Zhang YZ. Vibrio xiamenensis sp. nov., a cellulase-producing bacterium isolated from mangrove soil. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 2012; 62(Pt_8): 1958-62.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1099/ijs.0.033597-0 PMID: 22039001

- [27] Raghul SS, Bhat SG, Chandrasekaran M, Francis V, Thachil ET. Biodegradation of polyvinyl alcohol-low linear density polyethylene-blended plastic film by consortium of marine benthic vibrios. Int J Environ Sci Technol 2014; 11(7): 1827-34. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s13762-013-0335-8
- [28] Baba A, Miyazaki M, Nagahama T, Nogi Y. Microbulbifer chitinilyticus sp. nov. and *Microbulbifer okinawensis* sp. nov., chitin-degrading bacteria isolated from mangrove forests. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 2011; 61(9): 2215-20. http://dx.doi.org/10.1099/ijs.0.024158-0 PMID: 20935083
- [29] Kämpfer P, Arun AB, Young CC, et al. Microbulbifer taiwanensis sp. nov., isolated from coastal soil. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 2012; 62(Pt_10): 2485-9.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1099/ijs.0.034512-0 PMID: 22155758

- [30] Zhang DS, Huo YY, Xu XW, et al. Microbulbifer marinus sp. nov. and Microbulbifer yueqingensis sp. nov., isolated from marine sediment. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 2012; 62(Pt_3): 505-10. http://dx.doi.org/10.1099/ijs.0.027714-0 PMID: 21478393
- [31] Jeong SH, Yang SH, Jin HM, Kim JM, Kwon KK, Jeon CO. Microbulbifer gwangyangensis sp. nov. and Microbulbifer pacificus sp. nov., isolated from marine environments. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 2013; 63(Pt 4): 1335-41. http://dx.doi.org/10.1099/ijs.0.042606-0 PMID: 22798656
- [32] Al-Amoudi S, Essack M, Simões M, et al. Bioprospecting red sea coastal ecosystems for culturable microorganisms and their antimicrobial potential. Mar Drugs 2016; 14(9): 165. http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/md14090165 PMID: 27626430
- [33] Li Z, Wei R, Gao M, et al. Biodegradation of low-density polyethylene by Microbulbifer hydrolyticus IRE-31. J Environ

Manage 2020; 263: 110402.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2020.110402 PMID: 32174537

- [34] Chen XL, Wang Y, Wang P, Zhang YZ. Proteases from the marine bacteria in the genus *Pseudoalteromonas*: diversity, characteristics, ecological roles, and application potentials. Mar Life Sci Technol 2020; 2(4): 309-23. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s42995-020-00058-8
- [35] Davidov K, Iankelevich-Kounio E, Yakovenko I, Koucherov Y, Rubin-Blum M, Oren M. Identification of plastic-associated species in the Mediterranean Sea using DNA metabarcoding with Nanopore MinION. Sci Rep 2020; 10(1): 17533. http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-74180-z PMID: 33067509
- [36] Park S, Lee SY, Kim W, Yoon JH. Pseudoalteromonas caenipelagi sp. nov., isolated from a tidal flat. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 2020; 70(12): 6301-6.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1099/ijsem.0.004532 PMID: 33141655

- [37] Kyaw BM, Champakalakshmi R, Sakharkar MK, Lim CS, Sakharkar KR. Biodegradation of low density polythene (LDPE) by Pseudomonas Species. Indian J Microbiol 2012; 52(3): 411-9. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s12088-012-0250-6 PMID: 23997333
- [38] Gyung Yoon M, Jeong Jeon H, Nam Kim M. Biodegradation of polyethylene by a soil bacterium and AlkB cloned recombinant cell. J Bioremediat Biodegrad 2012; 3(4) http://dx.doi.org/10.4172/2155-6199.1000145
- [39] Romanenko LA, Uchino M, Tebo BM, Tanaka N, Frolova GM, Mikhailov VV. Pseudomonas marincola sp. nov., isolated from marine environments. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 2008; 58(3): 706-10.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1099/ijs.0.65406-0 PMID: 18319482

- [40] Begum MA, Varalakshmi B, Umamagheswari K. Biodegradation of polythene bag using bacteria isolated from soil. Int J Curr Microbiol Appl Sci 2015; 4(11): 674-80.
- [41] Nanda S, Sahu SS. Biodegradability of polyethylene by Brevibacillus, Pseudomonas, and Rhodococcus spp. New York Sci J 2010; 3(7): 95-8.
- [42] Auta HS, Emenike CU, Jayanthi B, Fauziah SH. Growth kinetics and biodeterioration of polypropylene microplastics by *Bacillus* sp. and *Rhodococcus* sp. isolated from mangrove sediment. Mar Pollut Bull 2018; 127: 15-21. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2017.11.036 PMID: 29475646