1



# RESEARCH ARTICLE

# Physicochemical Properties, Antioxidant and Antimicrobial Activities of Sweet Orange (*Citrus sinensis* L. OSBECK) Fruit Peel and Pulp Oil Extracts

Muhammednur Sado<sup>1</sup>, Zekeria Yusuf<sup>1,\*</sup>, Mulugeta Desta<sup>1</sup> and Megersa Idris<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>School of Biological Sciences and Biotechnology, Haramaya University, Dire Dawa, Ethiopia

#### Abstract:

#### Background:

*Citrus sinensis* L., commonly called sweet orange, fruit waste (peel, seed, and pulp) oils, are used as natural preservatives due to their broad spectrum of biological activities, including antimicrobial and antioxidant effects.

#### **Objective:**

The aim of this study was to investigate the physicochemical properties, antioxidant and antimicrobial activities of sweet orange peel and pulp oils extracted using the solvent extraction method.

#### Methods:

The oil extraction was done in the Soxhlet apparatus using petroleum ether as a solvent. Then, the physicochemical properties of the oil extracts were assessed based on the determination of oil yield, acid value, free fatty acid, and peroxide value. The antioxidant activity of the oil extract was evaluated based on 2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH), and hydrogen peroxide free radical scavenging activity as well as ascorbic acid content.

#### Results:

The results indicated that significantly higher antioxidant activities with respect to ascorbic acid (47.94%) and DPPH value 85.20% were recorded for sweet orange pulp/juice oil. Stronger antibacterial activity with a maximum zone of inhibition (10.67mm), minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) of  $0.25\mu$ g/ml, and minimum bactericidal concentration (MBC) of  $0.25\mu$ g/ml were recorded for fruit pulp oil extract against *Staphylococcus aureus*. Stronger antifungal activity with a maximum zone of inhibition (9.67mm), MIC ( $0.25\mu$ g/ml), and minimum fungicidal concentration (MFC) of  $0.50\mu$ g/ml were also observed for fruit pulp oil extract against *Aspergillus versicolor*.

#### Conclusion:

C. sinensis fruit pulp oil was found to demonstrate stronger biological activities, including both antioxidant and antimicrobial potentials.

Keywords: Minimum inhibitory concentration, Minimum bactericidal or fungicidal concentration, Solvent extraction, Fruit waste, Antioxidant and antimicrobial activities, Fruit pulp oil.

Article History	Received: March 2, 2022	Revised: March 16, 2022	Accepted: April 1, 2022
-----------------	-------------------------	-------------------------	-------------------------

# 1. INTRODUCTION

Citrus (*Citrus spp*), belonging to Rutaceae, is a large family whose dominant members include sweet oranges (*Citrus sinensis*), tangerines/mandarin (*Citrus reticulata*), lemon (*Citrus limon*), limes (several species) and grapefruits (*Citrus paradis*) [1]. Citrus fruit juices are important sources of bioactive materials that are responsible for their medicinal properties, health, and nutritional benefits [2]. The citrus fruit endocarp is rich in soluble sugar, vitamin C, pectin, fibers, organic acids, and potassium salts that are responsible for citrus flavour [3]. Citrus fruits are consumed as fresh or used for making juice, jam, and various types of drinks and food additives. Processing of citrus fruits results in a significant amount of waste (peels, seeds, and pulp) that accounts for 50% of the fruit [4], and is a source of valuable bioactive compounds and essential oils [5 - 7].

The sweet orange essential oil showed anti-carcinogenic potential via inducing apoptosis in human leukemia (HL-60) cells [8] and human colon cancer cells [8], inhibiting angiogenesis and metastasis [8]. Olfactory stimulation using orange essential oil induced physiological and psychological

<sup>\*</sup> Address correspondence to this author at the School of Biological Sciences and Biotechnology, Haramaya University, Dire Dawa, Ethiopia; E-mail: zakoyusuf@yahoo.com

relaxation. Inhalation of orange essential oil for 90 seconds caused a significant decrease in oxyhemoglobin concentration in the right prefrontal cortex of the brain, which increased comfortable, relaxed, and natural feelings [9, 10]. The odor of sweet orange decreased the symptoms of anxiety and improved the mood [11]. The oil showed strong anxiolytic activity in Wistar rats [12]. When female dental patients were exposed to a sweet orange odor diffused in the waiting room prior to a dental procedure, they showed lower levels of state anxiety compared to control patients who were exposed to air only [13].

The sweet orange essential oil in combination with ginger and accompanied by a massage was effective in alleviating moderate to severe knee pain among the elderly in Hong Kong [14]. Moreover, sweet orange essential oil suppressed preneoplastic hepatic lesions during *N*-nitrosodiethylamine (DEN)-induced hepatocarcinogenesis in rats by restoring the normal phenotype and upregulating junctional complexes [15]. Injections of orange essential oil in mice 24 h after subcutaneous injections with dibenzo- $[\alpha]$ -pyrene (DBP) reduced the tumor incidence to less than 50% after 30 weeks [16]. In addition, the oil was reported to have a good radicalscavenging activity [17], mainly due to the high *d*-limonene content [18, 19].

The availability widespread availability of citrus fruit wastes provides large opportunities for their application in sustainable pharmaceutical, nutraceutical, food, and beverage industries. The peel of citrus fruit has numerous glands that contain oil that is typically recovered as a major by-product [20]. Citrus fruit has its characteristic set of compounds that comprise the oil and that are responsible for its flavor and aroma. Various extraction methods, including supercritical fluid extraction, microwave-assisted extraction, and Soxhlet method, have been used for oil extraction. The use of appropriate extraction technology, plant materials, manufacturing equipment, and solvent type is important to obtain quality oil. In Ethiopia, limited studies exist on citrus fruit production, management and market values despite large consumption and demand. Therefore, the aim of this study was to investigate the physicochemical properties, and antioxidant and antimicrobial activities of sweet orange peel and pulp oils extracted using the solvent extraction method.

#### 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### 2.1. Sample Collection, Preparation, and Oil Extraction

The experiment was conducted in the Molecular biology and Biotechnology Lab, Haramaya University. The sweet orange (*Citrus sinensis* L.) fruit sample was collected from Toni Farm in Dire Dewa City, Ethiopia. The fruit peels and pulp samples were freeze-dried and grinded in a grinder. Then, the oil extraction was conducted in a soxhlet apparatus using petroleum ether as a solvent.

#### 2.2. Physicochemical Properties of the Oil Extracts

The oil yield and specific gravity were determined based on the solvent extraction method [21]. The percentage oil yield of each sample was determined as follows:

Oil yield=
$$\frac{\text{oil weight(OW)}}{\text{sample weight(SW)}} \times 100$$

Where, oil weight= W2-W1; W1= weight of the extraction flask (g), W2= weight of the extraction flask plus the dried crude fat (g).

The specific gravity of the oil was determined gravimetrically by employing the weight ratio of the oil to the equivalent amount of water according to the following formula:

Specific gravity 
$$=\frac{W2}{W1}$$

Where, W2 and W1 are the weights of oil and an equivalent amount of water, respectively.

#### 2.2.1. Determination of Acid Value

The acid value was determined as per AOAC [22] method. Briefly 2g of oil sample was weighed into a 250ml conical flask, and then, 25ml diethyl ether mixed with 25ml alcohol and 1ml of 1% phenolphthalein indicator was added to the oil sample. The conical flask was then placed in a hot water bath until the oil was completely dissolved in the solvent. The hot solution was then titrated with 0.1M KOH until a pink colour that persisted for 15 seconds was noticed. The acid value was calculated as:

Acid value = 
$$\frac{\text{Titre(ml)x 5.61}}{\text{Weight of sample used}}$$

Acid value is expressed as mg KOH/g of oil.

#### 2.2.2. Estimation of Free Fatty Acid

The percentage of free fatty acid (%FFA) was estimated by multiplying the acid value by the factor 0.503. The %FFA =  $0.503 \times$  acid value.

#### 2.2.3. Determination of Peroxide Value

To a weighed sample (1.0g) in a flask was added powdered potassium iodide (1.0g) and solvent mixture (2: 1, glacial acetic acid:chloroform v/v). The resulting solution was then placed in a water bath to dissolve properly, and 5% potassium iodide (20cm<sup>3</sup>) was then added. The sample solution was then titrated with 0.002N sodium thiosulphate using starch as an indicator. The peroxide values of the samples were calculated using the equation below [23].

$$PV = 2 X V$$

Where, PV = peroxide value, V = volume of sodium thiosulphate used,  $2 = (N \times 1000) / W$ , N = normality of sodium thiosulphate used, and W = weight of sample used.

#### 2.3. Antioxidant Activity

#### 2.3.1. DPPH Radical Scavenging Activity

The radical scavenging activity (RSA) of the oil extract is used to measure antioxidant activity using the DPPH (2, 2diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl) method [24]. Briefly, 2 mL of oil extract ( $100\mu$ g/ml) was added to 2mL of DPPH (0.1 mM) solution. The mixture was kept aside in a dark area for 30 min, and absorbance was measured at  $\lambda$ max 517 nm against an equivalent amount of DPPH with methanol used as a blank. The percentage of DPPH radical scavenging activity (RSA %) was estimated using the equation:

DPPH radical scavenging activity(%)'=  $\frac{(A_{blank} - A_{sample})}{A_{blank}}$ x100

Where, A<sub>blank</sub> is the absorbance of the blank solution, and A<sub>sample</sub> is the absorbance in the presence of the sample. Ascorbic acid was used as the positive control.

#### 2.3.2. Hydrogen Peroxide Scavenging Activity

The radical scavenging activity of the oil extract was determined using the H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> method [25]. Briefly, 2ml of oil extract solution (100µg/ml) was added to 4.0ml of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> (20 mM) solution in phosphate buffer (pH 7.4). After 10 min, the absorbance was measured at  $\lambda_{max}$  230 nm against the phosphate buffer blank solution. The percentage scavenging of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> was calculated using the equation:

% scavenging of  $H_2O_2 = \frac{(A_{blank} - A_{sample})}{A_{blank}} x100$ Where,  $A_{blank}$  = absorbance of the blank (phosphate buffer with  $H_2O_2$ ) and  $A_{sample}$  = absorbance of the oil extracts.

#### 2.3.3. Ascorbic Acid Content

The ascorbic acid content was determined using the 2, 6dichlorophenol indophenol (DCPIP) dye method [22]. Accordingly, 5ml of the standard ascorbic acid solution was pipetted into a 100 ml conical flask, and then 5ml of the 3% HPO<sub>3</sub> solution was added. The ascorbic acid solution was titrated with the dye solution to a pink colour that persisted for 15sec. The titer value was recorded. Dye factor was expressed as mg of ascorbic acid per ml of the dye, since 5ml of the standard ascorbic acid solution contained 0.5 mg of ascorbic acid:

1 ml of the extracted oil was diluted to 5ml with 3% metaphosphoric acid in a 50 ml volumetric flask. The aliquot was then centrifuged (Model, Z300, 580W, 3052 Nm, Germany) for 15 minutes and titrated with the standard dye to a pink endpoint (persisting for 15 seconds). The ascorbic acid content was calculated from the titration value, dye factor, dilution and volume of the sample as follows:

% A.A =  $\frac{(ABRsample)x \text{ dye factor } x \text{ volume of initial test solution}}{100\%} x 100\%$ volume of test solution titrated

Where, A.A = ascorbic acid, ABR= average burette reading.

#### 2.4. Antimicrobial Activity

The antimicrobial experiment was carried out as a threefactorial experiment, including 2 source extracts: sweet orange fruit peel and pulp oils at three concentration levels; petroleum ether as a solvent; and four test pathogens, including two bacteria, Escherichia coli (gram-negative) and Staphylococcus aureus (gram-positive), and two fungi (Aspergillus versicolor and A. Niger), completely randomized in three replications. The test pathogens were obtained from the Ethiopian Institute of Food and Public Health.

The turbidity of each bacterial and fungal spore suspension matched the turbidity of 0.5 McFarland standards using saline solution [26]. Discs of 6 mm diameter were prepared from sterile filter paper cut into small circular pieces of equal size by a perforator, and then each of them was impregnated with 0.01 ml of the prepared test extract ethyl acetate solution. The extract impregnated discs were placed onto Muller Hinton agar (MHA) plates evenly inoculated with test pathogens. Ketoconazole (1µg/disc) disc was applied as a positive control and distilled water served as a negative control for incubation of fungi, while amoxicillin (1µg/disc) served as a positive control and distilled water as a negative control for bacterial pathogens.

The oil extracts that showed significant antimicrobial activity in the antimicrobial activity tests were selected for determination of MIC based on the broth dilution method used by Mousavi et al. [27] with slight modifications. Briefly, 0. 2ml of the prepared concentration of each oil extract was mixed with 2ml of nutrient broth and potato dextrose agar medium. Thereafter, standardized inoculums of 0.1 ml of the respective test pathogens were dispensed into the test tubes containing the suspensions of the broth and the oil extract. Then, all test tubes were properly corked and incubated at 37°C for 24 hrs for bacteria and 27°C for 72 hrs for fungi. After that, they were observed for the absence or presence of visible growth.

The minimum bactericidal concentration (MBC) or minimum fungicidal concentration (MFC) values were determined by subculturing from respective MIC values. The MBC plates were incubated for 48 h, and MFC assay plates were incubated for 3 days. After the incubation periods, the lowest concentration of the extract that did not allow any bacterial or fungal growth on a solid medium was regarded as MBC and MFC for the extract.

#### 2.5. Data Analysis

The experimental data were analyzed using SAS version 9.2 [28]. to investigate statistical significance between the different oil quality parameters. Differences between means were considered statistically significant at P < 0.05.

Table 1. Physicochemical properties of sweet orange fruit pulp and peel oil extracts.

Oil extract	Oil yield (%)	ACV(g/L)	FFA(%)	PV(meq/kg)
Pulp	48.75±3.54b	0.98±0.20b	0.49±0.10b	0.17±0.03a
Peel	67.50±1.77a	2.38±0.60a	1.20±0.30a	0.18±0.03a

Means followed by the same letter within a column were not significantly different at a 0.05 probability level based on the Least Significance Difference (LSD) test. Small letters: significance within a column

#### 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### 3.1. Physicochemical Properties of Sweet Orange (Citrus sinensis L.) Oil Extracts

The physicochemical properties of Citrus sinensis oil extracted from ripened peel and pulp are presented in (Table 1). Significantly higher oil yield (67.50%), acid value (2.38g/L), and free fatty acid value (1.20%) were recorded for peel oil extract. However, no significant difference in peroxide value between pulp and peel oils was observed.

# **3.2.** Antioxidant Activities of Sweet Orange Fruit Peel and Pulp Oil Extracts

Antioxidant activities with respect to ascorbic acid content, 2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH), and hydrogen peroxide scavenging activity were used to screen the radical scavenging activity of oil extracted from *C. sinensis* peel and pulp oil (Table **2**). It was observed that significantly higher ascorbic acid (47.94±0.94%) and DPPH ( $85.20\pm2.83\%$ ) were recorded for fruit pulp/juice oil. However, significantly higher hydrogen peroxide scavenging activity ( $11.50\pm1.41$ ) was recorded for sweet orange peel oil, indicating that sweet orange juice exhibited more quality than peel oil. This finding was in agreement with Barreca *et al.* [13], who reported that the total antioxidant activity of *C. sinensis* crude juice scavenged DPPH radicals with an antioxidant power corresponding to 14.39±0.19 µM; Trolox equivalents (TE) were used as the reference.

 Table 2. Antioxidant activities of oils from pulp and peel of sweet orange.

Oil extract	DPPH	HPSA	AA (%v/v)	
Fruit pulp	85.20±2.83a	6.00±2.12b	47.94±0.94a	
Fruit peel 14.00±1.70b		11.50±1.41a	44.57±1.01b	
Means followed by the same letter within a column were not significantly				

Means followed by the same letter within a column were not significantly different at 0.05 probability level based on LSD (Least Significance difference) test. Small letters: significance within a column; DPPH: 2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl; HPSA: hydrogen peroxide scavenging activity; AA: ascorbic acid (vitamin C).

# **3.3.** Antimicrobial Activity of Sweet Orange (*Citrus sinensis* L.) Fruit Peel and Pulp Oils

The oil extract from C. sinensis fruit peel and pulp indicated considerable antibacterial activity based on disk diffusion assay (Table 3). Susceptibility of the two tested bacteria and fungi to the oil extracts has demonstrated a significance difference between C. sinensis pulp and peel oil extracts. Oil extracts with colony growth inhibitory effect at the highest dose showed a mean zone of inhibition ranging from 9.00 to 10.67mm. Amoxicillin (used as a positive control) showed a significant superiority (p<0.05) in the zone of inhibition compared to the test extracts (Table 3). For most of the test extracts, the highest concentration (2.0µg/mL) exhibited a significantly higher (P<0.05) zone of inhibition compared to the respective lowest concentration  $(1.0 \mu g/mL)$ . The strongest antibacterial activity with a maximum zone of inhibition (10.67mm) was recorded with pulp oil extract against S. aureus at 2.0µg/ml of concentration, indicating that S. aureus is more susceptible to the oil extracts than E. coli.

The sweet orange essential oil was reported to inhibit the growth of both gram-negative and gram-positive bacterial spp [30 - 32, 34]. as well as several fungal spp [34 - 36]. It also showed good anti-aflatoxigenic effects (inhibited aflatoxin B<sub>1</sub>) at 500 ppm [36]. In addition, it exerted an intense larvicidal activity against the malaria vector, *Anopheles labranchiae* [37], and the vector of yellow and dengue fever, *Aedes aegypti* [38]. Sweet orange essential oil is a potent fumigant against house flies, cockroaches, and mosquitoes [39, 40]. It can be used for controlling subterranean termites [41]. It is also an effective

anthelmintic agent against gastrointestinal nematodes, five times more effective on *Haemonchus contortus* eggs than tea tree essential oil [42]. Moreover, sweet orange essential oil acted as a growth promoter, increased immunity, and improved disease resistance to *Streptococcus iniae* in Tilapia [43].

Table 3. Antimicrobial activity of oil extract from pulp and peel of sweet orange as a mean of the zone of inhibition diameter against pathogens.

Pathogen	Oil	Concentration of oil extract			Amoxicillin	
(Bacteria/Fungi)	extract	1.0µg/ml	1.5µg/ml	2.0µg/ml	(1.0µg/ml)	
Escherichia coli	Pulp	7.67±0.58aD	9.17±0.76aC	10.50±0.50abB	18.50±0.49aA	
E. coli	Peel	$0.00{\pm}0.0bD$	7.17±0.76bC	9.00±1.0bB	19.17±0.29aA	
Staphylococcus aureus	Pulp	7.17±0.29aD	8.83±0.27aC	11.00±1.15aB	19.00±0.50aA	
S. aureus	Peel	$0.00{\pm}0.0bD$	6.50±0.87bC	10.00±0.5abB	18.50±0.50aA	
					Ketoconazole (1.0µg/ml)	
Aspergillus niger	Pulp	7.50±0.50aD	8.00±0.50aC	9.50±0.76aB	18.67±0.58aA	
A. niger	Peel	0.00±0bD	7.00±0.51aC	8.50±0.50bB	18.83±0.29aA	
A. versicolor	Pulp	8.00±0.0aD	8.50±0.5aC	10.50±0.52abB	18.67±0.29aA	
A. versicolor	Peel	0.00±0aD	7.83±0.29aC	9.50±0.50bB	18.33±0.76aA	

Means followed by the same letter within a column were not significantly different at 0.05 probability level based on LSD (Least Significance difference) test. Small letters: significance within a column; capital letters: significance across rows.

The antifungal activity of the oil extracts demonstrated that the colony growth inhibitory effect at the highest dose showed a mean zone of inhibition ranging from 8.50 to 9.67 mm. Ketoconazole (used as a positive control) showed a significant superiority (p<0.05) in the zone of inhibition compared to the test oil extracts (Table 3). For most of the test extracts, the highest concentration (2.0µg/mL) exhibited a significantly higher (P<0.05) zone of inhibition compared to the other lower concentrations (1.0 and 1.50µg/mL). The strongest antifungal activity with a maximum zone of inhibition (9.67mm) was recorded with pulp oil extract against A. niger at 2.0µg/ml of concentration, indicating that A. niger is more susceptible to the oil extracts than A. versicolor. A similar study was conducted by Singh et al. [44], who reported the maximum antibacterial activity of fruit peel extract for Staphylococcus aureus (15.9 mm), followed by Escherichia coli (14.0 mm), and maximum antifungal activity for Candida albicans (12.9 mm), followed by Aspergillus niger (12.3 mm).

# 3.4. Minimum Inhibitory Concentration (MIC), Minimum Bactericidal Concentration (MBC), and Minimum Fungicidal Concentration (MFC) of the Oil Extracts

The MIC, MBC, and MFC tests for sweet orange peel and pulp are shown in Table 4. The strongest antibacterial activity was recorded for pulp oil with the least MIC ( $0.25\mu g/ml$ ) and MBC ( $0.25\mu g/ml$ ) against *S. aureus*, indicating *S. aureus* as more susceptible to the oil extract, while the weakest antibacterial activity (with the largest value of MIC) was observed for peel oil with MIC ( $0.50\mu g/ml$ ) and MBC ( $1.0\mu g/ml$ ) against *E. coli*, showing E. coli as more resistant to the oil extract. It can also be observed from this finding that pulp oil was a more effective antimicrobial than peel oil in *C. sinensis* fruit.

Likewise, the oil extracted from sweet orange pulp

exhibited strong antifungal activity with the least MIC  $(0.25\mu g/ml)$  and corresponding MFC  $(0.50\mu g/ml)$  against *A. versicolor*, showing that *A.versicolor* was more susceptible to the oil extract. The weaker antifungal activity with the highest MIC  $(1.0\mu g/ml)$  and MFC  $(2.0\mu g/ml)$  was recorded for peel oil against *A. niger* that indicated *A. niger* as less susceptible to the oil extract.

Table 4. Minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC), minimum bactericidal concentration (MBC), and minimum fungicidal concentration (MFC) of sweet orange peel and pulp oil extracts.

Microbes (Bacteria/Fungi)	Oil extract	MIC (μg/ml)	MBC/MFC (µg/ml)
E. coli	Peel	0.50	1.00
	Pulp	0.25	0.50
S. aureus	Peel	0.25	0.75
	Pulp	0.25	0.25
A. niger	Peel	1.0	2.0
	Pulp	0.50	1.0
A. versicolor	Peel	0.50	0.50
	Pulp	0.25	0.50

MIC: Minimum inhibitory concentration, MBC: minimum bactericidal concentration, MFC: minimum fungicidal concentration.

#### CONCLUSION

The results with respect to physicochemical properties indicated higher acid value, free fatty acid, DPPH, and ascorbic acid content of pulp/juice oil extract, indicating that sweet orange juice exhibited more quality than peel oil. The antimicrobial activities of oil extracts demonstrated higher antibacterial and antifungal activities for pulp oil. It can be concluded from the present study that higher oil quality with antioxidant (ascorbic acid) and DPPH radical scavenging activity exhibited better antimicrobial activity. Thus, higher oil quality is directly correlated with strong antimicrobial activity. Such a concept generates good information for medicinal plant breeders and horticulturalists.

#### **AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTIONS**

Zekeria Yusuf contributed to initiation and design of the study, lab experiment, and data analysis; Muhammednur Sado and Megersa Idris performed lab experiment, data collection, and wrote the document; Mulugeta Desta contributed to snalysis and interpretation of data. All authors contributed to drafting of the article and revising it critically for important intellectual content.

# ETHICS APPROVAL AND CONSENT TO PARTICIPATE

Not applicable.

# HUMAN AND ANIMAL RIGHTS

No animal/humans were used that are the basis of this study.

# CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION

Not applicable.

### AVAILABILITY OF DATA AND MATERIALS

The data material will be made available on request from corresponding author [Z.Y].

### FUNDING

This project was funded by Haramaya University Research grant, under project code: HUIF\_2019\_06\_01\_98.

## **CONFLICT OF INTEREST**

The authors declare no conflict of interest, financial or otherwise.

#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

The authors are grateful to Haramaya University Research Office for their financial support and laboratory facilities.

### REFERENCES

- Olabinjo OO, Ogunlowo AS, Ajayi OO, Olalusi AP. Analysis of Physical and Chemical Composition of Sweet Orange (Citrus sinensis) Peels. Int J Environ, Agricul Biotechnol (IJEAB) 2017; 2(4): 2456-1878.
- [2] Manthey JA. Fractionation of orange peel phenols in ultrafiltered molasses and mass balance studies of their antioxidant levels. J Agric Food Chem 2004; 52(25): 7586-92.
  - [http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/jf049083j] [PMID: 15675808]
- [3] Ezejiofor TIN, Eke NV, Okechukwu RI, Nwoguikpe RN, Duru CM. Waste to wealth: Industrial raw materials potential of peels of Nigerian sweet orange (*Citrus sinensis*). Afr J Biotechnol 2011; 10(33): 6257-64.
- [4] Anwar F, Naseer R, Bhanger MI, Ashraf S, Talpur FN, Aladedunye FA. Physico- chemical characteristics of citrus seeds and seed oils from Pakistan. J Am Oil Chem Soc 2008; 85(4): 321-30. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11746-008-1204-3]
- [5] Martín MA, Siles JA, Chica AF, Martín A. Biomethanization of orange peel waste. Bioresour Technol 2010; 101(23): 8993-9. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2010.06.133] [PMID: 20655741]
- [6] Rezzadori K, Benedetti S, Amante ER. Proposals for the residues recovery: Orange waste as raw material for new products. Food Bioprod Process 2012; 90(4): 606-14. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.fbp.2012.06.002]
- [7] Sharma K, Mahato N, Cho MH, Lee YR. Converting citrus wastes into value-added products: Economic and environmently friendly approaches. Nutrition 2017; 34: 29-46.
  - [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nut.2016.09.006] [PMID: 28063510]
- [8] Hata T, Sakaguchi I, Mori M, et al. Induction of apoptosis by Citrus paradisi essential oil in human leukemic (HL-60) cells. In Vivo 2003; 17(6): 553-9.
   [PMID: 14758720]
- [9] Chidambara Murthy KN, Jayaprakasha GK, Patil BS. D-limonene rich volatile oil from blood oranges inhibits angiogenesis, metastasis and cell death in human colon cancer cells. Life Sci 2012; 91(11-12): 429-39.
- [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.lfs.2012.08.016] [PMID: 22935404] [10] Igarashi M, Ikei H, Song C, Miyazaki Y. Effects of olfactory
- stimulation with rose and orange oil on prefrontal cortex activity. Complement Ther Med 2014; 22(6): 1027-31. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ctim.2014.09.003] [PMID: 25453523]
- [11] Goes TC, Antunes FD, Alves PB, Teixeira-Silva F. Effect of sweet orange aroma on experimental anxiety in humans. J Altern Complement Med 2012; 18(8): 798-804. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1089/acm.2011.0551] [PMID: 22849536]
- [12] Faturi CB, Leite JR, Alves PB, Canton AC, Teixeira-Silva F. Anxiolytic-like effect of sweet orange aroma in Wistar rats. Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry 2010; 34(4): 605-9. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.pnpbp.2010.02.020] [PMID: 20211673]
- [13] Lehrner J, Eckersberger C, Walla P, Pötsch G, Deecke L. Ambient odor of orange in a dental office reduces anxiety and improves mood in female patients. Physiol Behav 2000; 71(1-2): 83-6.
   [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0031-9384(00)00308-5] [PMID: 11134689]

#### 6 The Open Biotechnology Journal, 2022, Volume 16

- [14] Yip YB, Tam ACY. An experimental study on the effectiveness of massage with aromatic ginger and orange essential oil for moderate-tosevere knee pain among the elderly in Hong Kong. Complement Ther Med 2008; 16(3): 131-8.
- [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ctim.2007.12.003] [PMID: 18534325]
   [15] Bodake HB, Panicker KN, Kailaje VV, Rao KV. Chemopreventive effect of orange oil on the development of hepatic preneoplastic lesions induced by *N*-nitrosodiethylamine in rats: an ultrastructural study. Indian J Exp Biol 2002; 40(3): 245-51.
   [PMID: 12635690]
- [16] Homburger F, Treger A, Boger E. Inhibition of murine subcutaneous and intravenous benzo(rst)pentaphene. Carcinogenesis by sweet orange oils and d-limonene. Oncology 1971; 25(1): 1-10. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1159/000224548] [PMID: 5090417]
- [17] Asjad H, Akhtar M, Bashir S, Gulzar B, Khalid R, Asad M. Phenol, flavonoid contents and antioxidant activity of six common citrus plants in Pakistan. J Pharm Cosmet Sci 2013; 1: 1-5.
- [18] Roberto D, Micucci P, Sebastian T, Graciela F, Anesini C. Antioxidant activity of limonene on normal murine lymphocytes: relation to H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> modulation and cell proliferation. Basic Clin Pharmacol Toxicol 2010; 106(1): 38-44. [PMID: 19796276]
- [19] Yu L, Yan J, Sun Z. D-limonene exhibits anti-inflammatory and
- antioxidant properties in an ulcerative colitis rat model via regulation of iNOS, COX-2, PGE2 and ERK signaling pathways. Mol Med Rep 2017; 15(4): 2339-46.
  - [http://dx.doi.org/10.3892/mmr.2017.6241] [PMID: 28260017]
- [20] Ortiz JM. Botany: Taxonomy, morphology and physiology of fruits, leaves and flowers.Citrus: The Genus Citrus. London, New York: Taylor and Francis 2002; pp. 16-35.
- [21] Yusuf Z, Malede A, Desta M, Idris M, Seyida S. Physicochemical properties and biological activities of mango (*Magnifera indica* L.) seed kernel and peel oils. Fungal Territory, VOL 2021; 4(4): 1-3. [http://dx.doi.org/10.36547/ft.374]
- [22] Official Methods of Analysis. 15th ed. Washington, DC: Association of Official Chemists' 1990.
- [23] Nielsen SS. Introduction to the Chemical Analysis of Foods. 2002; pp. 183-204.
- [24] Loizzo MR, Bonesi M, Menichini F, Tenuta MC, Leporini M, Tundis R. Antioxidant and carbohydrate-hydrolysing enzymes potential of *Sechium edule* (Jacq.) Swartz (Cucurbitaceae) peel, leaves and pulp fresh and processed. Plant Foods Hum Nutr 2016; 71(4): 381-7. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11130-016-0571-4] [PMID: 27474037]
- [25] Bozin B, Mimica-Dukic N, Samojlik I, Goran A, Igic R. Phenolics as antioxidants in garlic (*Allium sativum* L., Alliaceae). Food Chem 2008; 111(4): 925-9.
  - [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2008.04.071]
- [26] Hudzicki J. Kirby-Bauer Disk Diffusion Susceptibility Test Protocol. American Society for Microbiology 2009; p. 23.
- [27] Mousavi SM, Bagheri G, Saeidi S. Antibacterial activities of the hydroalcoholic extract of *Portulaca oleracea* leaves and seeds in sistan region, southeastern iran. Int J Infect 2015; 2(2)e23214 [http://dx.doi.org/10.17795/iji-23214]
- [28] SAS Institute. SAS enterprise guide, Version 92. Cary, NC, USA: SAS Inst. 2011.
- [29] Barreca D, Bellocco E, Leuzzi U, Gattuso G. First evidence of C- and O-glycosyl flavone in blood orange (*Citrus sinensis* (L.) Osbeck) juice and their influence on antioxidant properties. Food Chem 2014; 149: 244-52. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/i.foodchem.2013.10.096] [PMID:

[http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2013.10.096] [PMIE 24295703]

[30] Lin CM, Sheu SR, Hsu SC, Tsai YH. Determination of bactericidal efficacy of essential oil extracted from orange peel on the food contact surfaces. Food Control 2010; 21(12): 1710-5. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2010.06.008]

- [31] Bourgou S, Rahali FZ, Ourghemmi I, Saïdani Tounsi M. Changes of peel essential oil composition of four Tunisian citrus during fruit maturation. ScientificWorldJournal 2012; 2012528593 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1100/2012/528593] [PMID: 22645427]
- [32] Settani L, Palazzolo E, Guarrasi V, et al. Inhibition of foodborne pathogen bacteria by essential oils extracted from citrus fruits cultivated in Sicily. Food Control 2012; 26(2): 326-30. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2012.01.050]
- [33] Franco-Vega A, Reyes-Jurado F, Cardoso-Ugarte GA, Sosa-Morales ME, Palou E. Lopez- Malo A Sweet Orange (Citrus Sinensis) Oils. New York, NY, USA: Elsevier Inc. 2015.
- [34] Viuda-Martos M, Ruiz-Navajas Y, Fernández-López J, Perez-Álvarez J. Antifungal activity of lemon (*Citrus limon L.*), mandarin (*Citrus reticulata L.*), grapefruit (*Citrus paradisi L.*) and orange (*Citrus sinensis L.*) essential oils. Food Control 2008; 19(12): 1130-8. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2007.12.003]
- [35] Sharma N, Tripathi A. Effects of *Citrus sinensis* (L.) Osbeck epicarp essential oil on growth and morphogenesis of *Aspergillus niger* (L.) Van Tieghem. Microbiol Res 2008; 163(3): 337-44. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.micres.2006.06.009] [PMID: 16870411]
- [36] Singh P, Shukla R, Prakash B, et al. Chemical profile, antifungal, antiaflatoxigenic and antioxidant activity of *Citrus maxima* Burm. and *Citrus sinensis* (L.) Osbeck essential oils and their cyclic monoterpene, DL-limonene. Food Chem Toxicol 2010; 48(6): 1734-40. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.fct.2010.04.001] [PMID: 20385194]
- [37] El-Akhal F, Lalamia AEO, Guemmouh R. Larvicidal activity of essential oils of *Citrus sinensis* and *Citrus aurantium* (Rutaceae) cultivated in Morocco against the malaria vector *Anopheles labranchiae* (Diptera: Culicidae). Asian Pac J Trop Dis 2015; 5(6): 458-62.

[http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S2222-1808(15)60815-5]

[38] Galvao JG, Silva VF, Ferreira SG, et al. β-Cyclodextrin inclusion complexes containing *Citrus sinensis* (L.) Osbeck essential oil: An alternative to control *Aedes aegypti* larvae. Thermochim Acta 2015; 608: 14-9.

[http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tca.2015.04.001]

- [39] Ezeonu FC, Chidume GI, Udedi SC. Insecticidal properties of volatile extracts of orange peels. Bioresour Technol 2001; 76(3): 273-4.
   [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0960-8524(00)00120-6] [PMID: 11198181]
- [40] Rossi YE, Palacios SM. Fumigant toxicity of *Citrus sinensis* essential oil on *Musca domestica* L. adults in the absence and presence of a P450 inhibitor. Acta Trop 2013; 127(1): 33-7.
   [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.actatropica.2013.03.009] [PMID: 23545130]
- [41] Raina A, Bland J, Doolittle M, et al. Effect of orange oil extract on the formosan subterranean termite (Isoptera: Rhinotermitidae). J Econ Entomol 2007; 100(3): 880-5.

[http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/jee/100.3.880] [PMID: 17598551]

[42] Gaínza YA, Domingues LF, Perez OP, Rabelo MD, López ER, de Souza Chagas AC. Anthelminticactivity in vitro of Citrus sinensis and Melaleuca quinquenervia essential oil from Cuba on Haemonchus contortus. Ind Crops Prod 2015; 76: 647-52. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.indcrop.2015.07.056]

[43] Acar U, Kesbio OS, Yilmaz S, Gültepe N, Türker A. Evaluation of the effects of essential oil extracted from sweet orange peel (*Citrus sinensis*) on growth rate of tilapia (*Oreochromis mossambicus*) and

- sinensis) on growth rate of tilapia (*Oreochromis mossambicus*) and possible disease resistance against *Streptococcus iniae*. Aquaculture 2015; 437: 282-6.
   [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2014.12.015]
- [44] Singh V, Katiyar D, Ali M. Comparative study of volatile constituents and antimicrobial activities of leaves and fruit peels of *Citrus sinensis* Linn. J of Phytopharmacology 2015; 4(2): 102-5. [http://dx.doi.org/10.31254/phyto.2015.4208]

#### © 2022 Sado et al.

This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International Public License (CC-BY 4.0), a copy of which is available at: (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/legalcode). This license permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.