The Open Biotechnology Journal, 2016, 10, (Suppl-2, M10) 379-389



REVIEW ARTICLE

The Geomicrobiology of Chromium (VI) Pollution: Microbial Diversity and its Bioremediation Potential

Huda Al-Battashi^a, Sanket J. Joshi^b, Bernhard Pracejus^{c,*} and Aliya Al-Ansari^a

^aDepartment of Biology, College of Science, Sultan Qaboos University, Oman ^bCentral Analytical and Applied Research Unit, College of Science, Sultan Qaboos University, Oman ^cDepartment of Earth Science, College of Science, Sultan Qaboos University, Oman

Received: April 26, 2016

Revised: August 02, 2016

Accepted: September 27, 2016

Abstract: The role and significance of microorganisms in environmental recycling activities marks geomicrobiology one of the essential branches within the environmental biotechnology field. Naturally occurring microbes also play geo-active roles in rocks, leading to biomineralization or biomobilization of minerals and metals. Heavy metals, such as chromium (Cr), are essential micronutrients at very low concentrations, but are very toxic at higher concentrations. Generally, heavy metals are leached to the environment through natural processes or anthropogenic activities such as industrial processes, leading to pollution with serious consequences. The presence of potentially toxic heavy metals, including Cr, in soils does not necessarily result in toxicity because not all forms of metals are toxic. Microbial interaction with Cr by different mechanisms leads to its oxidation or reduction, where its toxicity could be increased or decreased. Chromite contains both Cr(III) and Fe(II) and microbial utilization of Fe(II)- Fe(III) conversion or Cr (III) - Cr (VI) could lead to the break-down of this mineral. Therefore, the extraction of chromium from its mineral as Cr (III) form increases the possibility of its oxidation and conversion to the more toxic form (Cr (VI)), either biologically or geochemically. Cr (VI) is quite toxic to plants, animals and microbes, thus its levels in the environment need to be studied and controlled properly. Several bacterial and fungal isolates showed high tolerance and resistance to toxic Cr species and they also demonstrated transformation to less toxic form Cr (III), and precipitation. The current review highlights toxicity issues associated with Cr species and environmental friendly bioremediation mediated by microorganisms.

Keywords: Chromite, Chromium (VI) toxicity, Chromium (III), Microbial bioremediation.

INTRODUCTION

Microorganisms play critical geo-active roles in the environment. They are involved in biogeochemical element recycling and bio-transformation of metals and minerals, bioweathering and bioleaching in soils and sediments. The microbial biochemical and metabolic activities have an effect on metal speciation, solubility, mobility, bioavailability, and toxicity [1]. Some of these mechanisms are essential and considered as a part of biogeochemical cycles for metals-recycling, where the metals are involved in microbial metabolism, development, and cell-differentiation [2, 3]. Microbial resistance to toxic metals is common, ranging from limited in uncontaminated environment up to 100% in highly contaminated environment [3, 4]. The survival of these microbes depends on protective mechanisms: redox bio-transformations, expression of metal binding stress proteins, precipitation, transport, efflux and intracellular compartmentalization. Significant metal binding abilities of cell walls and other cell structural components lead to different mobility rates [3, 4]. Chromium belongs to the heavy metals, which are considered as human hazards and thus microorganisms are required to control its concentrations. A slight elevation in the level of Cr (VI) elicits environmental and health problems because of its high toxicity, mutagenicity and carcinogenicity, while the reduced trivalent form (Cr

379

^{*} Address correspondence to this author at the Sultan Qaboos University, Department of Earth Science, College of Science, Sultanate of Oman; Tel: +968-24146838; Fax: +968-24146834; E-mail: pracejus@squ.edu.om

(III)) is less toxic and an essential nutrient for living organisms [5].

CHROMITE

Chromite (FeCr₂O₄) is a brownish black, weekly magnetic cubic mineral belonging to the spinel group [6]. It mainly forms in ultramafic igneous rocks (peridotite) where it is accumulated during the early stages of magma crystallization [7]. It is also found in serpentinites, which develop through hydrothermal alteration of peridotites. Since its discovery in 1798, chromite is still considered as an economic source for chromium extraction. Theoretically, it is mainly composed of around 32.0% FeO and 68.0% Cr₂O₃ and, also contains a number of additional elements, such as Al, Ti, Mg and V, which either substitute for Cr or Fe, respectively [6]. Generally, chromite is chemically inert and insoluble in water. The annual production of chromite ore was 23,700-24,000 x10³ metric tons, in year 2010-2011. The estimated world reserve is projected at >480 x 10⁶ metric tons of shipping-grade chromite ore with approximately 45% Cr₂O₃. The foremost resources are situated in Kazakhstan (220 x10⁶ t), southern Africa (200 x10⁶ t), India (54 x10⁶ t) and United States (0.62 x10⁶ t) [8]. Chromium is extensively used in metallurgical and chemical industries for the production of ferrochrome and chemicals, such as sodium dichromate. Chromate production from chromite ore usually leads to high environmental pollution with a low extraction ratio. Usually, the processing of chromite is done by roasting with sodium carbonate at 1200 °C, in a rotary kiln with the addition of limestone and dolomite, ending up with >70% yield of Cr [9].

CHROMIUM CYCLE

Soil composition, texture, physical conditions in the soil, and the flora are the major aspects influencing the Cr mobility [10]. The oxidation states of Cr in aqueous environments are +2, +3 and +6; although +3 and +6 are the most common. Compared to Cr (III), aqueous hexavalent chromium (Cr (VI)) is the most oxidized, mobile, reactive, and toxic form of Cr with no sorption in most sediment at pH> 7 [11]. The hexavalent chromium speciation at different pH values are shown in Table 1. The common Cr (III) species include Cr(OH)₃ as aqueous and solid form, while Cr(OH)₄⁻ [pH > 9], CrOH²⁺, Cr(OH)²⁺, and Cr₃(OH)₄⁵⁺ occur in solution.

Table 1. The Cr	(VI) s	peciation at di	ifferent pH value.
-----------------	--------	-----------------	--------------------

Cr (VI) species	рН
Monochromate anion (HCrO ₄)	< 6.5
Chromate anion (CrO_4^{2})	> 6.5
Bichromate anion (HCr ₂ O_7)	< 1
Dichromate anion $(Cr_2O_7^2)$	1< pH <7.5
Chromate anion (CrO_4^{2})	> 7.5

Under alkaline to slightly acidic conditions, chromate $(CrO_4^{2^-})$, bichromate $(HCrO_4)$, and dichromate $(Cr_2O_7^{2^-})$ are weakly attached to loams leading to a high mobility in the subsurface [12]. On the other hand, Cr (III) is much less mobile and precipitates readily as $Cr(OH)_3$ or $Fe_xCr_{1-x}(OH)_3$ at pH values >6.0, in soil [12]. Reduction of Cr (VI) to Cr (III) is an effective means of immobilization and can be induced by inorganic or biological agents. The principal chemical reactions leading to chromium cycling are hydrolysis, oxidation-reduction, and precipitation [10]. In partial equilibrium with oxygen - soils and sediments contain Mn-oxides and carbon, which also play an important role in redox reactions with Cr, such reactions are thermodynamically spontaneous [13]. Mn-oxides have high inner surfaces (*e.g.*, tunnels in structure) and possess a high cation exchange capacity, thus acting as strong scavengers for heavy metals under neutral pH condition. Cr (III) oxidation (Eq. 1) in soil is directly proportional to Mn(IV) oxides in the soil [14]. Organic matter, such as hydroquinone has been observed to reduce Cr (VI) in soils as well (Eq. 2) [13].

$$Cr^{3+} + 1.5MnO_2 + H_2O \rightarrow HCrO^{4-} + 1.5Mn^{2+} + H^+$$
 (1)

$$C_{6}H_{6}O_{2} + CrO_{4}^{2^{-}} + 2H_{2}O \rightarrow 0.5Cr_{2}O_{3} + 1.5C_{6}H_{4}O_{2} + 2.5H_{2}O + 2OH^{-}$$
⁽²⁾

In general the oxidation of Cr (III) to Cr (VI) in environment is quite difficult as compared to the reduction of Cr (VI) to Cr (III). In general, under normal environmental conditions, a higher pH value favors the oxidation while a lower pH value favors reduction [13].

MICROBIAL DIVERSITY IN CHROMITE

Microbial communities residing in igneous rocks remain inadequately characterized. These rocks constitute approximately 95% of the Earth's crust. Investigating such microbiological habitat is essential to understand which organisms are involved in the initial weathering of rocks and minerals and the mobilization of heavy metals, such as Cr [15]. Generally, rocks are considered an extreme environment for microbial communities because they are unvegetated and nutrient-limited. Characterizing microbial communities within chromite could yield new insights as found in other extreme endolithic communities [16]. Some researchers reported different culturable and unculturable microbiological methods (molecular biology tools) to characterize the diversity and abundance of microbes occupying in weathered, nutrient-limited terrestrial chromite rocks. Chromium tolerant and reducing bacteria and fungi have been isolated mostly from chromium-contaminated soil, wastewater, and industrial effluents [17]. Kourtev *et al.* [18], reported the effect of hexavalent chromium concentration on microbial communities using denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis (DGGE), where the addition of chromium led to enrichment and emergence of different microbial populations. Dos Santos *et al.* [19], tested the microbial diversity using soil microcosms contaminated soil showed normal growth with a genetic diversity while the oil and metal contaminated samples had low microbial growth in comparison with the control group/experiments.

CHROMIUM POLLUTION

The CrO_4^{2-} and HCrO^{2-} ions are two highly mobile forms of Cr in soils, which can be readily absorbed by plants and easily be leached out from decomposing organic matter into different soil layers, thus leading to surface and ground water contamination [20]. In aqueous solution, Cr exists both in trivalent (Cr (III)) and hexavalent (Cr (VI)) forms [21]. Those two forms are commonly dispersed in the environs as a result of different anthropogenic events [22, 23] as well as geochemical mobilization [17]. The industrial processes from which Cr is released include electroplating, petroleum refining, leather tanning, wood preservation, photography, metal finishing, pulp processing, and dye and textile industries [9, 24, 25]. Cr can also naturally be elevated in soils derived from the weathering of Cr-bearing ultramafic rocks and serpentinites which cover approximately one percent of the global land surface [26]. Moreover, mining operations in chromite-sediments often create enormous dumps surrounding the mining area. These waste piles contain waste rocks, unwanted minerals, low-grade chromite ores, and soils. Natural leaching and weathering process occurring in these materials frequently cause hexavalent chromium production and percolation, causing environmental contamination and pollution [8, 27].

CHROMIUM TOXICITY

Chromium (III) is an essential micro-nutrient for plants, animals and humans, which is less toxic than hexavalent chromium [28, 29]. It is reported to play a crucial role in sugar, protein, and lipid metabolism of mammals [8], lowering blood glucose levels to control diabetes, and to reduce blood cholesterol levels. Generally Cr is present in a variety of foods: broccoli, Brewer's yeast, liver, cheese, whole grain breads, and cereals. Some claims have been made that Cr also facilitates muscle growth [7]. Hexavalent chromium-mediated toxicity is mainly due to its easy uptake across the cell-membrane, which leads to the development of oxidative stress, DNA damage, carcinogenicity, mutagenicity and altered gene expression [30]. Furthermore, Cr (VI) is quite soluble in aqueous environments, in the wide pH range, whereas, Cr (III) tends to be adsorbed on soil surfaces or precipitate at pH values >6.0. Thus, Cr (VI) poses the highest threat as an environmental contaminant, particularly in surface and ground water systems [31]. Cr (VI) is identified as one amongst seventeen highly hazardous chemicals to humans by the United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) [32]. The maximum allowable limit recommended by the World Health Organization for Cr into inland surface water is 0.1 mg/l, and into drinking water is 0.05 mg/l. If ingested in large doses, elevated levels of Cr (VI) may lead to loss of life. The LD₅₀ for oral toxicity in rats ranges from 50 to 100 mg/kg for Cr (VI) and 1900- 3000 mg/ kg for Cr (III) [33]. Higher Cr in soils can potentially cause toxicity to plant life [34, 35]. Due to Cr stress, the reactive oxygen species like H₂O₂, OH⁻, and O²⁻ are produced, which leads to reduction in CO₂ fixation, inhibition of electron transport, inactivation of enzymes, and chloroplast disorganization [36 - 38]. It is somewhat difficult to assess the Cr-toxicity to soil microorganisms, as the environments studied were also frequently contaminated with diverse organic pollutants and heavy metals [39]. It is reported that prokaryotes show higher resistance to Cr (VI) than eukaryotes [40]. In general Gram-positive bacteria are reported to be more chromate tolerant than Gram-negative bacteria [41]. It was also reported that Cr negatively affects the microbial activity in soil, and lead to the accumulation of organic carbon [42]. Speir et al.. [43], also reported that short-term Cr (VI) exposures leads to inhibition of enzymes such as phosphatase and sulphatase,

and also decreases the microbial population in soil.

CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL TREATMENT OF CHROMIUM (VI)

Hazardous hexavalent chromium wastes pose significant environmental pollution risks [9]. Due to several industrial and manufacturing activities, annually more than 170,000 t of Cr waste are released into the environment [40, 25]. Therefore, several technologies to remove Cr (VI) from aqueous solutions have been developed [24]. The industrial waste and soil are treated by various physico-chemical methods: electrochemical reduction [44], electrocoagulation [45], precipitation, adsorption [46], ion exchange [47] and membrane separation [48]. However, the initial costs to set up the necessary tools and to manage those methods are quite high for treatments on a bigger scale. In addition, most of these approaches are quite incompetent due to incomplete contaminant removal, higher chemical usage and energy requisites, while still polluting the water tables due to the production of secondary hazardous wastes. The major limitations of some of those methods are - cost-effectiveness only at high or reasonable contaminant-concentrations and not at lower concentrations (1 to 100 mg/l) [49].

Microbe-based technologies can be applied as a cost-effective technique for contaminant-metal removal, especially from sites containing lower contaminant-concentrations [50]. Bioremediation offers huge advantages for the development of detoxification technologies for Cr (VI)-contaminated soils [27, 51]. Microbial transformation of toxic Cr (VI) provides the tools for green technologies which are more cost-effective. Biotechnological approaches used to limit the toxicity of metal pollution are achieved by selectively enriching those naturally occurring microorganisms to treat particular toxic wastes. The processes by which microorganisms influence removal and recovery of the toxic metals are: biosorption (passive uptake of metal without reduction), bioaccumulation (active uptake without reduction), and biotransformation by enzymatic reduction [52, 53]. Chromium (III) is approximately one thousand-times less toxic than chromium (VI), because the cell membrane is almost impermeable to Cr (III) [54]. For this reason, the biotransformation of chromium (VI) to chromium (III) and precipitation of Cr (III) has been considered as a promising way of treatment by various authors [55, 56]. The first reported bacterial strain with the ability to reduce Cr (VI) was a *Pseudomonas* sp., isolated from industrial wastewater in 1977 [57]. Several researchers have reported different microbes resistant to Cr (VI) isolated from different sites (Table **2**). There are different mechanisms that microbes use to overcome the chromium toxicity in their environment, including oxidation-reduction (redox) reactions, sorption-desorption, and precipitation-dissolution [58].

Microorganism	Source of Isolation	Chromium tolerance/resistance	Reference
Brevundimonas sp., Sphingomonas sp. and, Azospirillum sp.	Magnetite mine drainage from Hebei China	Chromate-resistant and reducing bacteria	Lu <i>et al</i> [71],
Bacillus sphaericus	Serpentine soils of Andaman, India	Chromate-resistant and reducing bacteria	Pal & Paul [72],
Bacillus sp. JDM-2-1 and, Staphylococcus capitis	Wastewater samples, Sheikhupura, Pakistan	Reduced 81-85% of the Cr (VI) to Cr (III) at pH 7, 37 °C after 96 h	Zahoor & Rehman [73],
Staphylococcus aureus	Sediments of Lanzhou Reach of the Yellow River, China	Aerobically reduce 94.5% of 0.4 mM Cr (VI) to Cr (III) in 120 h	Zhang <i>et al.</i> [74],
Bacillus sp.	Chromate contaminated soil, India	Cr resistant and reducing bacteria (enzyme - chromate reductase)	Elangovan et al. [75],
Desulfovibrio vulgaris	Hildenborough strain (DSM 644)	Accumulated precipitates of Cr (III) on their cell surfaces	Goulhen <i>et al.</i> [76],
Cellulomonas sp.	Cr(VI) impacted core from a borehole in a dichromate plume on the US Department of Energy's Hanford facility in southeastern Washington State	Reduction of Cr (VI) to Cr (III)	Viamajala <i>et al.</i> [77],
Arthrobacter sp., and a Bacillus sp.	Long-term tannery waste contaminated soil, Mount Barker, South Australia	Cr (VI)-reducing ability and resistance to Cr(VI)	Megharaj et al. [22],
Pseudomonas sp. strain RNP4	Long-term tannery waste contaminated soil, Chennai, India	Cr (VI) reduction	Rajkumar et al. [78],
Serratia marcescens	Local tannery effluent, Concepción, Chile	Cr resistant and reducing bacteria (enzyme - chromate reductase)	Campos <i>et al.</i> [79],
Acinetobacter and Ochrobactrum	Activated sludge of a wastewater treatment plant, central Portugal	Cr (VI)-reducing ability and resistance to Cr(VI)	Francisco et al. [80],

Table 2. The Cr (VI) tolerant/resistant microorganisms from different sources.

Microorganism	Source of Isolation	Chromium tolerance/resistance	Reference
Enterococcus casseliflavus	Tannery effluent, Vellore district, India	Reduce the Cr (VI) through adsorption process	Saranraj <i>et al</i> . [81],
Enterococcus gallinarum	Tannery waste-contaminated soil, Fez, Morocco	Reduce chromate to 100% at a concentration of 200 mg/ l, in aerobic conditions	Sayel <i>et al.</i> [82],
Pseudomonas sp.,	Industrial wastewater	Reduce Cr (VI)	Romanenko & Korenkov [57],
Ochrobactrum intermedium BCR400	Cr contaminated soil collected from Vadodara, Gujarat, India	Reduced 100 mg Cr(VI)/L completely in 52 h with initial Cr(VI) reduction rate of 1.98 mg/L/h.	Kavita & Keharia [83],
Bacillus sp. BT1	Cr polluted soil collected from Tannery industry, Tamilnadu, India	In the presence of anthraquinone-2-sulfonic acid (AQS), it reduced a total of 400 mg Cr(VI)/L	Kavita & Keharia [84],
<i>Aspergillus</i> sp.	Cr contaminated sites	Cr(VI)-reducing ability and resistance to Cr(VI)	Coreño-Alonso <i>et al.</i> [85]; Fukuda <i>et al.</i> [86]; Shugaba <i>et al.</i> [34]; Srivastava & Thakur [34],
A. flavus, A. niger, Fusarium solani, and Penicillium chrysogenum	Contaminated peri-urban agricultural soils of Faisalabad, Pakistan	Cr tolerant capability	Iram <i>et al.</i> [87],
Trichoderma species	Cr contaminated sites	Chromium tolerant and resistant capability	Debpali et al. [88]; El-Kassas et al. [89]; Shriram et al. [90],
Paecilomyces sp.	Isolated from Mexico	Complete disappearance of Cr (VI), with the concomitant production of Cr (III)	Cárdenas-González & Acosta-Rodríguez [68],
Glomus intraradices (mycorrhizal fungus)	Chromium contaminated agricultural soil, Salt Lake City, Utah	Cr tolerance and hyperaccumulation	Davies <i>et al.</i> [91],
A. niger and A. flavus	Contaminated soil and water, Guimaras Province, Philippines	Cr tolerance (600 ppm)	Bennett <i>et al.</i> [92],
Aspergillus niger and T. viride	Chromium contaminated soil, Tamilnadu, India	30.8 - 83.4% reduction	Sunitha & Rajkishore [93],
T. harzianum	Provided by Center for advance studies in botany (CAS), University of Madras, India	Removal by biosorption (90.2%)	Sarkar <i>et al.</i> [94],
Aspegillus nidulans, Rhizopus arrhizus, T. viride	Samples of sewage, sludge and industrial effluents, Haryana, India	Uptake capacity of 2.55 mg/g	Kumar <i>et al.</i> [95],
Rhizopus oryzae	Procured from IMTECH, Chandigarh, India	Cr reduction efficiency of 91.15%	Sukumar [96]

(Table 4) contd.....

Bacterial Reduction of Chromium (VI)

Even though heavy metals are quite toxic to majority of microorganisms, some bacteria developed the resistance mechanism. Several habitats exposed to anthropogenic or natural metal contamination over an extended period of time showed that long-term exposure to those metals acted as a selective-enrichment which favored the growth of metaltolerant microbes [59]. The transformation of Cr (VI) to Cr (III) in the microbial cells leads to the formation of intermediate 'O' radicals and unstable oxidation states of chromium respectively (such as Cr(V) and Cr(IV)), which are more toxic than Cr (III) [60]. Despite these highly reactive compounds such unique microorganisms have alternative mechanisms to overcome these problems: chromate resistant plasmids, iron efflux systems, and variations in the reduction mechanisms [22, 60, 61]. Generally, chromium reduction mechanisms can be enzymatic or chemical, where the microbes follow either single or combined process. Aerobic bacteria such as Bacillus, Pseudomonas, Streptomyces, and Leucobacter spp. are associated with soluble chromate reductases (using NADH or NADPH as cofactors to reduce the Cr), whereas the anaerobic ones including Shewanella, Enterobacter, and Sulfate reducing bacteria (SRB) have membrane-bound reductase such as flavin reductase, cytochrome, and hydrogenase, which are part of the electron transport system. They use Cr (VI) as a terminal electron acceptor instead of NO₃⁻ or SO₄⁻²⁻, as has been reported for Desulfotomaculum reducens [62]. These two Cr (VI)-reducing activities were found in Desulfovibrio vulgaris where Cytochrome c3 was reported to catalyze Cr (VI) and uranium (VI) reduction. Here, the cytochrome may function as both U (VI) and Cr (VI) reductase [55]. However, microbial reduction of Cr (VI) may also take place through chemical reactions associated with intra/extra cellular compounds, such as amino acids, vitamins (vitamin C, in particular), nucleotides, sugars, organic acids or glutathione. In addition, some microbes have their own plasmid resistant mechanism, which is considered to be a powerful detoxification process of Cr (VI) [63].

Bacterial Oxidation of Chromium (III)

It is well-known that chromite has very low water solubility and high chemical resistance to dissolution. The oxidation of Cr (III) could occur *via* O^{2-} , H₂O₂, and Mn-oxides. In subsurface environments, the direct oxidation of Cr by oxygen is limited because of the slow kinetics [64]. However, the oxidation of Cr by H₂O₂ is probably insignificant due to its limited subsurface production. Mn(IV) oxides are the only known naturally occurring oxidants for Cr (III). Karen *et al.* [65], reported Mn(II) oxidizing *Bacillus* sp. strain SG-1, which accelerated the Cr (III) oxidation 7-times faster than without bacteria, in the presence of Mn. Mn(II) oxidizing bacteria accelerate the production of reactive Mn(IV) oxides, which are required in the oxidation of Cr (III). Another study conducted with soil containing Mn oxides (Mn³⁺, Mn⁴⁺) showed that the oxidation of Cr (III) took place under aerobic system, in presence of Mn oxidizing *Pseudomonas putida* species [66].

Fungal Chromium Resistance

Chromium resistance has been reported in both yeast and filamentous fungi isolated from Cr contaminated environments (Table 2). Cr resistance in the yeasts strains including Candida and Rhodosporidium was related to their ability to reduce ion uptake, rather than to their biological reduction of Cr (VI) to Cr (III). Species like zygomycetes fungus Mucor rouxii and the yeasts Candida albicans, Yarrowia lipolytica, and S. cervesiae were very sensitive to chromium without any change in chromium concentration in the media [55]. In contrast, strain RR1 of Candida sp. showed a high capacity to resist Cr, being highly efficient in reducing Cr (VI) to the less toxic form via contact cells and crude cell-free extracts [67]. Moreover, Paecilomyces sp. showed its capacity to reduce 50 mg/l Cr (VI), with the associated production of Cr (III) in the growth medium after 7 days of incubation [68]. Congeevaram et al. [69], reported that Aspergillus sp. isolated from contaminated site showed a high resistance to chromium of up to 10,000 mg/l via biosorption processes. Viti et al. [70] reported in-depth comparative analysis of molecular mechanisms for Cr(VI) resistance in bacteria and fungi compared with classical microbiological approaches: Both bacteria and fungi respond to presence of Cr(VI) by combining cellular networks systems at several levels, such as the reducing power generated by iron and sulfur metabolism, protein oxidative stress protection, DNA repair, Cr-efflux pumps, and detoxification enzymes - such as Cr(VI) reductases. Chromate-resistance determinants (CRDs) have been reported from different microbes, which consist of genes belonging to the chromate ion transport (CHR) superfamily. Generally, CRDs include the chrA gene, which encodes a putative chromate efflux protein. However it has been reported that chrA genes provide Cr(VI) protection only in very low concentrations range [70]. They concluded that the currently available knowledge is largely theoretical and still a molecular understanding of many aspects of Cr(VI) resistance in microorganisms are poorly understood.

CONCLUSION

Microorganisms exist in different environments and many of those environments have been investigated. Although considerable knowledge about microbial diversity has been amassed, most of these organisms remain uncharacterized, due to several reasons including habitats that have not been investigated, organisms that are difficult to culture in laboratory conditions, and inaccurate identification of compiled samples. Many microbes isolated from the contaminated area were capable of reducing the chromium toxicity and the search continues to find more tolerant microbes. The presence of high chromium-tolerant or/and resistant microbes shows that they have evolved different mechanisms to reduce the detrimental effects on their cells. This brief review based on published literature summarizes the importance of employing indigenous microorganisms to reduce chromium toxicity as a cost effective and environmentally sustainable technology.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors confirm that this article content has no conflict of interest.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Declared none.

REFERENCES

 Edwards KJ, Bach W, McCollom TM. Geomicrobiology in oceanography: microbe-mineral interactions at and below the seafloor. Trends Microbiol 2005; 13(9): 449-56.
 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tim.2005.07.005] [PMID: 16054363]

- [2] Gadd GM. Geomycology: biogeochemical transformations of rocks, minerals, metals and radionuclides by fungi, bioweathering and bioremediation. Mycol Res 2007; 111(Pt 1): 3-49.
 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.mycres.2006.12.001] [PMID: 17307120]
- [3] Gadd GM. Metals, minerals and microbes: geomicrobiology and bioremediation. Microbiology 2010; 156(Pt 3): 609-43. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1099/mic.0.037143-0] [PMID: 20019082]
- [4] Silver S, Phung LT. Heavy metals, bacterial resistance Encyclopedia of Microbiology. Oxford: Elsevier 2009; pp. 220-7.
- Oliveira H. Chromium as an environmental pollutant: insights on induced plant toxicity. J Bot 2012; 375843. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2012/375843]
- [6] Coats RP. Occurrence of chromite at mount davies, tomkinson range mining review. Australia: Adelaide 1956; 101: p. 46.
- Jacobs AJ, Testa SM. Overview of chromium (VI) in the environment: background and history Chromium (VI) Handbook. Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press Inc. 2004; pp. 1-21.
- [8] Dhal B, Thatoi H, Das N, Pandey BD. Reduction of hexavalent chromium by *Bacillus* sp. isolated from chromite mine soils and characterization of reduced product. J Chem Technol Biotechnol 2010; 85: 1471-9.
- Yang Z, Shi-li Z, Hao D, Hong-bin X, Yi Z. Effect of mechanical activation on alkali leaching of chromite ore. Trans Nonferrous Met Soc China 2010; 20: 888-91.
 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1003-6326(09)60231-4]
- Banks MK, Schwab AP, Henderson C. Leaching and reduction of chromium in soil as affected by soil organic content and plants. Chemosphere 2006; 62(2): 255-64.
 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2005.05.020] [PMID: 16000212]
- [11] Bartlett RJ, James BR. Chromium. In: Sparks DL, Ed. Methods of Soil Analysis, Part 3, Chemical methods. Madison, WI: Soil Science Society of America 1996; pp. 683-701.
- [12] Wittbrodt PR, Palmer CD. Reduction of Cr (VI) in the presence of excess soil fulvic acid. Environ Sci Technol 1995; 29(1): 255-63. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/es00001a033] [PMID: 22200228]
- [13] James BR. Remediation by reduction strategies for chromate contaminated soils. Environ Geochem Health 2001; 23: 175-9. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1023/A:1012477901521]
- [14] Apte AD, Verma S, Tare V, Bose P. Oxidation of Cr(III) in tannery sludge to Cr(VI): field observations and theoretical assessment. J Hazard Mater 2005; 121(1-3): 215-22. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2005.02.010] [PMID: 15885424]
- [15] Kelly LC, Cockell CS, Herrera-Belaroussi A, *et al.* Bacterial diversity of terrestrial crystalline volcanic rocks, Iceland. Microb Ecol 2011; 62(1): 69-79.
 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00248-011-9864-1] [PMID: 21584756]
- [16] Walker JJ, Pace NR. Phylogenetic composition of Rocky Mountain endolithic microbial ecosystems. Appl Environ Microbiol 2007; 73(11): 3497-504.
 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AEM.02656-06] [PMID: 17416689]
- Pal A, Dutta S, Mukherjee PK, Paul AK. Occurrence of heavy metal-resistance in microflora from serpentine soil of Andaman. J Basic Microbiol 2005; 45(3): 207-18.
 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/jobm.200410499] [PMID: 15900542]
- [18] Kourtev PS, Nakatsu CH, Konopka A. Responses of the anaerobic bacterial community to addition of organic C in chromium(VI)- and iron(III)-amended microcosms. Appl Environ Microbiol 2006; 72(1): 628-37. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AEM.72.1.628-637.2006] [PMID: 16391100]
- [19] Dos Santos ED, Silva IS, Simões TH, et al. Correlation of soil microbial community responses to contamination with crude oil with and without chromium and copper. Int Biodeter Biodegr 2012; 70: 104-10. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ibiod.2012.01.010]
- [20] Calder LM. Chromium contamination of groundwater Chromium in Natural and Human Environments. New York: Wiley Interscience 1988; pp. 215-31.
- [21] Chen JM, Hao OJ. Microbial chromium (VI) reduction. Crit Rev Environ Sci Technol 1998; 28: 219-51. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/10643389891254214]
- [22] Megharaj M, Avudainayagam S, Naidu R. Toxicity of hexavalent chromium and its reduction by bacteria isolated from soil contaminated with tannery waste. Curr Microbiol 2003; 47(1): 51-4. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00284-002-3889-0] [PMID: 12783193]
- [23] Mishra V, Samantaray DP, Dash SK, Mishra BB, Swain RK. Study on hexavalent chromium reduction by chromium resistant bacterial isolates of Sukinda mining area. Our Nature 2010; 8: 63-71.
- [24] Wang J, Pan K, He Q, Cao B. Polyacrylonitrile/polypyrrole core/shell nanofiber mat for the removal of hexavalent chromium from aqueous solution. J Hazard Mater 2013; 244-245: 121-9. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2012.11.020] [PMID: 23246947]

386 The Open Biotechnology Journal, 2016, Volume 10

- [25] Ezaka E, Anyanwu CU. Chromium (VI) tolerance of bacterial strains isolated from sewage oxidation ditch. Int J Environ Sci 2010; 1: 1725-34.
- [26] Ball JW, Izbicki JA. Occurrence of hexavalent chromium in ground water in western Mojave Desert, California. Appl Geochem 2004; 19: 1123-35.
 - [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.apgeochem.2004.01.011]
- [27] Dey S, Paul AK. Hexavalent chromium reduction by aerobic heterotrophic bacteria indigenous to chromite mine overburden. Braz J Microbiol 2013; 44(1): 307-15. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/S1517-83822013000100045] [PMID: 24159321]
- [28] Chromium, Nickel, Welding. IARC Monographs on the Evaluation of Carcinogenic Risks to Humans. Lyon, France: IARC 1990; 49. Available from: http://monographs.iarc.fr/ENG/Monographs/vol49/ [cited on June 2016]
- [29] Lee SE, Lee JU, Chon HT, Lee JS. Microbiological reduction of hexavalent chromium by indigenous chromium-resistant bacteria in sand column experiments. Environ Geochem Health 2008; 30(2): 141-5. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10653-008-9132-6] [PMID: 18286377]
- Bagchi D, Stohs SJ, Downs BW, Bagchi M, Preuss HG. Cytotoxicity and oxidative mechanisms of different forms of chromium. Toxicology 2002; 180(1): 5-22.
 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0300-483X(02)00378-5] [PMID: 12324196]
- [31] Tharannum S, Krishnamurthy V, Mahmood R. Characterization of chromium remediating bacterium *Bacillus subtilis* isolated from electroplating effluent. Int J Eng Res Appl 2012; 2: 961-6.
- [32] Marsh TL, McInerney MJ. Relationship of hydrogen bioavailability to chromate reduction in aquifer sediments. Appl Environ Microbiol 2001; 67(4): 1517-21.
 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AEM.67.4.1517-1521.2001] [PMID: 11282599]
- [33] Zayed AM, Terry N. Chromium in the environment: factors affecting biological remediation. Plant Soil 2003; 249: 139-56. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1023/A:1022504826342]
- [34] Srivastava S, Thakur IS. Evaluation of bioremediation and detoxification potentiality of *Aspergillus niger* for removal of hexavalent chromium in soil microcosm. Soil Biol Biochem 2006; 38: 1904-11. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2005.12.016]
- [35] Ali S, Cai S, Zeng F, Qiu B, Zhang GP. The effect of salinity and chromium stresses on uptake and accumulation of mineral elements in barley genotypes differing in salt tolerance. J Plant Nutr 2012; 35: 827-39. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/01904167.2012.663438]
- [36] Zhang H, Jiao H, Jiang CX, et al. Hydrogen sulfide protects soybean seedlings against drought-induced oxidative stress. Acta Physiol Plant 2010; 32: 849-57.
 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11738-010-0469-y]
- [37] Ali S, Farooq MA, Hussain S, Yasmeen T, Abbasi GH, Zhang G. Alleviation of chromium toxicity by hydrogen sulfide in barley. Environ Toxicol Chem 2013; 32(10): 2234-9.
 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/etc.2309] [PMID: 23775579]
- [38] Sharma I, Pati PK, Bhardwaj R. Effect of 28-homobrassinolide on antioxidant defence system in Raphanus sativus L. under chromium toxicity. Ecotoxicology 2011; 20(4): 862-74. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10646-011-0650-0] [PMID: 21448625]
- [39] Turpeinen R, Kairesalo T, Häggblom MM. Microbial community structure and activity in arsenic-, chromium- and copper-contaminated soils. FEMS Microbiol Ecol 2004; 47(1): 39-50. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0168-6496(03)00232-0] [PMID: 19712345]
- [40] Kamaludeen SP, Megharaj M, Juhasz AL, Sethunathan N, Naidu R. Chromium-microorganism interactions in soils: remediation implications. Rev Environ Contam Toxicol 2003; 178: 93-164.
 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/0-387-21728-2_4] [PMID: 12868782]
- [41] Viti C, Giovannetti L. The impact of chromium contamination on soil heterotrophic and photosynthetic microorganisms. Ann Microbiol 2001; 51: 201-13.
- [42] Shi W, Bischoff M, Turco R, Konopka A. Long-term effects of chromium and lead upon the activity of soil microbial communities. Appl Soil Ecol 2002; 21: 169-77. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0929-1393(02)00062-8]
- [43] Speir TW, Kettles HA, Percival HJ, Parshotam A. Is soil acidification the cause of biochemical responses when soils are amended with heavy metal salts? Soil Biol Biochem 1999; 31: 1953-61. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0038-0717(99)00115-7]
- [44] Liu YX, Yuan DX, Yan JM, Li QL, Ouyang T. Electrochemical removal of chromium from aqueous solutions using electrodes of stainless steel nets coated with single wall carbon nanotubes. J Hazard Mater 2011; 186(1): 473-80. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2010.11.025] [PMID: 21122989]
- [45] Bhatti MS, Reddy AS, Thukral AK. Electrocoagulation removal of Cr(VI) from simulated wastewater using response surface methodology. J Hazard Mater 2009; 172(2-3): 839-46.

[http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2009.07.072] [PMID: 19695770]

- [46] Weng CH, Sharma YC, Chu SH. Adsorption of Cr(VI) from aqueous solutions by spent activated clay. J Hazard Mater 2008; 155(1-2): 65-75. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2007.11.029] [PMID: 18162297]
- [47] Sardohan T, Kir E, Gulec A, Cengeloglu Y. Removal of Cr (III) and Cr (VI) through the plasma modified and unmodified ion-exchange membranes. Separ Purif Tech 2010; 74: 14-20. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.seppur.2010.05.001]
- [48] Gomes S, Cavaco SA, Quina MJ, Gando-Ferreira LM. Nanofiltration process for separating Cr (III) from acid solutions: experimental and modeling analysis. Desalination 2010; 254: 80-9. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.desal.2009.12.010]
- [49] Cossich ES, Tavares CR, Ravagnani TM. Biosorption of chromium (III) by Sargassum sp. biomass. Electron J Biotechnol 2002; 5: 133-40.
- [50] Özdemir G, Ceyhan N, Ozturk T, Akirmak F, Cosar T. Biosorption of chromium (VI),cadmium (II) and copper (II) by *Pentoea sp.* TEM18. Chem Eng J 2004; 102: 249-53. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2004.01.032]
- [51] Roundhill DM, Koch HF. Methods and techniques for the selective extraction and recovery of oxoanions. Chem Soc Rev 2002; 31(1): 60-7. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1039/b003141k] [PMID: 12108983]
- [52] Panda J, Sarkar P. Isolation and identification of chromium-resistant bacteria: Test application for prevention of chromium toxicity in plant. J Environ Sci Health A Tox Hazard Subst Environ Eng 2012; 47(2): 237-44. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/10934529.2012.640895] [PMID: 22242876]
- [53] Shugaba A, Buba F, Kolo BG, Nok AJ, Ameh DA, Lori JA. Uptake and reduction of hexavalent chromium by Aspergillus niger and Aspergillus parasiticus. J Pet Environ Biotechnol 2012; 3: 119. [http://dx.doi.org/10.4172/2157-7463.1000119]
- [54] Czakó-Vér K, Batiè M, Raspor P, Sipiczki M, Pesti M. Hexavalent chromium uptake by sensitive and tolerant mutants of Schizosaccharomyces pombe. FEMS Microbiol Lett 1999; 178(1): 109-15. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0378-1097(99)00342-0] [PMID: 10483729]
- [55] Cervantes C, Campos-García J, Devars S, *et al.* Interactions of chromium with microorganisms and plants. FEMS Microbiol Rev 2001; 25(3): 335-47.
 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6976.2001.tb00581.x] [PMID: 11348688]
- [56] Cheunga KH, Gua JD. Mechanism of hexavalent chromium detoxification by microorganisms and bioremediation application potential: A review. Int Biodeter Biodegr 2007; 59: 8-15. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ibiod.2006.05.002]
- [57] Romanenko VI, Korenkov VN. A pure culture of bacterial cells assimilating chromates and bichromates as hydrogen acceptors when grown under anaerobic conditions. Mikrobiologiya 1977; 46: 414-7.
- [58] Choppala G, Bolan N, Park JH. Chromium contamination and its risk management in complex environmental settings Advances in Agronomy. USA: Academic Press, Elsevier Press Inc. 2013; pp. 129-72.
- [59] Sundar K, Vidya R, Mukherjee A, Chandrasekaran N. High chromium tolerant bacterial strains from Palar river basin: Impact of tannery pollution. Res J Environ Earth Sci 2010; 2: 112-7.
- [60] Costa M. Potential hazards of hexavalent chromate in our drinking water. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 2003; 188(1): 1-5. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0041-008X(03)00011-5] [PMID: 12668116]
- [61] Dhal B, Thatoi HN, Das NN, Pandey BD. Chemical and microbial remediation of hexavalent chromium from contaminated soil and mining/metallurgical solid waste: a review. J Hazard Mater 2013; 250-251: 272-91. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2013.01.048] [PMID: 23467183]
- [62] Tebo BM, Obraztova AY. Sulfate reducing bacterium grows with Cr (VI), U(VI), Mn(IV), and Fe(III) as electron acceptors. FEMS Microbiol Lett 1998; 162: 193-8. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6968.1998.tb12998.x]
- [63] Pattanapipitpaisal P, Brown NL, Macaskie LE. Chromate reduction and 16S rRNA identification of bacteria isolated from a Cr(VI)contaminated site. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 2001; 57(1-2): 257-61. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s002530100758] [PMID: 11693930]
- [64] Ivarsson M, Broman C, Holm NG. Chromite oxidation by manganese oxides in subseafloor basalts and the presence of putative fossilized microorganisms. Geochem Trans 2011; 12(1): 5. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1467-4866-12-5] [PMID: 21639896]
- [65] Murray KJ, Tebo BM. Cr(III) is indirectly oxidized by the Mn(II)-oxidizing bacterium *Bacillus* sp. strain SG-1. Environ Sci Technol 2007; 41(2): 528-33.
 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/es0615167] [PMID: 17310717]
- [66] Wu Y, Deng B. Chromium(III) oxidation coupled with microbially mediated Mn(II) oxidation. Geomicrobiol J 2005; 22: 161-70. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/01490450590945997]
- [67] Ramírez-Ramírez R, Calvo-Meéndez C, Avila-Rodríguez M, Gutieérrez-Corona JF. Chromate resistance and reduction in a yeast strain

isolated from industrial waste discharges. In: Environmental Engineering and Health Sciences, Section 4: Environmental Engineering Application, Water Resources Publication . USA: LCC, Englewood, CO, 2000.

- [68] Cárdenas-González JF, Acosta-Rodríguez I. Hexavalent chromium removal by a *Paecilomyces* sp. fungal strain isolated from environment. Bioinorg Chem Appl 2010; 676243. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2010/676243] [PMID: 20634988]
- [69] Congeevaram S, Dhanarani S, Park J, Dexilin M, Thamaraiselvi K. Biosorption of chromium and nickel by heavy metal resistant fungal and bacterial isolates. J Hazard Mater 2007; 146(1-2): 270-7. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2006.12.017] [PMID: 17218056]
- [70] Viti C, Marchi E, Decorosi F, Giovannetti L. Molecular mechanisms of Cr(VI) resistance in bacteria and fungi. FEMS Microbiol Rev 2014; 38(4): 633-59.
 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/1574-6976.12051] [PMID: 24188101]
- [71] Lu Z, An X, Zhang W. Isolation and phylogenetic analysis of chromium(VI) reducing bacteria of a magnetite mine drainage from hebei China. Modern Appl Sci 2011; 5(2): 113-8. [http://dx.doi.org/10.5539/mas.v5n2p113]
- [72] Pal A, Paul AK. Aerobic chromate reduction by chromium-resistant bacteria isolated from serpentine soil. Microbiol Res 2004; 159(4): 347-54.
 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.micres.2004.08.001] [PMID: 15646381]
- [73] Zahoor A, Rehman A. Isolation of Cr(VI) reducing bacteria from industrial effluents and their potential use in bioremediation of chromium containing wastewater. J Environ Sci (China) 2009; 21(6): 814-20. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1001-0742(08)62346-3] [PMID: 19803088]
- [74] Zhang X, Krumholz LR, Zhengsheng Y, Chen Y, Liu P, Li X. A novel subspecies of *Staphylococcus aureus* from sediments of Lanzhou Reach of the Yellow river aerobically reduces hexavalent chromium. J Bioremed Biodegr 2013; 4: 188. [http://dx.doi.org/10.4172/2155-6199.1000188]
- [75] Elangovan R, Abhipsa S, Rohit B, Ligy P, Chandraraj K. Reduction of Cr(VI) by a *Bacillus* sp. Biotechnol Lett 2006; 28(4): 247-52. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10529-005-5526-z] [PMID: 16555008]
- [76] Goulhen F, Gloter A, Guyot F, Bruschi M. Cr(VI) detoxification by *Desulfovibrio vulgaris* strain Hildenborough: microbe-metal interactions studies. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 2006; 71(6): 892-7.
 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00253-005-0211-7] [PMID: 16896506]
- [77] Viamajala S, Smith WA, Sani RK, et al. Isolation and characterization of Cr(VI) reducing Cellulomonas spp. from subsurface soils: implications for long-term chromate reduction. Bioresour Technol 2007; 98(3): 612-22. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2006.02.023] [PMID: 16644211]
- [78] Rajkumar M, Nagendran R, Lee KJ, Lee WH. Characterization of a novel Cr6+ reducing Pseudomonas sp. with plant growth-promoting potential. Curr Microbiol 2005; 50(5): 266-71.
 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00284-005-4470-4] [PMID: 15886910]
- [79] Campos VL, Moraga R, Yánez J, Zaror CA, Mondaca MA. Chromate reduction by *Serratia marcescens* isolated from tannery effluent. Bull Environ Contam Toxicol 2005; 75(2): 400-6. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00128-005-0767-z] [PMID: 16222516]
- [80] Francisco R, Alpoim MC, Morais PV. Diversity of chromium-resistant and -reducing bacteria in a chromium-contaminated activated sludge. J Appl Microbiol 2002; 92(5): 837-43. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2672.2002.01591.x] [PMID: 11972686]
- [81] Saranraj P, Stella D, Reetha D, Mythili K. Bioadsorption of chromium resistant *Enterococcus casseliflavus* isolated from tannery effluents. J Ecobiotechnol 2010; 2(7): 17-22.
- [82] Sayel H, Bahafid W, Joutey NT, et al. Cr (VI) reduction by Enterococcus gallinarum isolated from tannery waste-contaminated soil. Ann Microbiol 2012; 62(3): 1269-77. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s13213-011-0372-9]
- [83] Kavita B, Keharia H. Reduction of hexavalent chromium by Ochrobactrum intermedium BCR400 isolated from a chromium-contaminated soil. 3 Biotech 2012; 2(1): 79-87.
- [84] Kavita B, Keharia H. Anthraquinone 2-sulfonic acid-mediated reduction of Cr (VI) by *Bacillus* sp. BT1. Desalination Water Treat 2015; 53(1): 221-9. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/19443994.2013.838521]
- [85] Coreño-Alonso A, Solé A, Diestra E, et al. Mechanisms of interaction of chromium with Aspergillus niger var tubingensis strain Ed8. Bioresour Technol 2014; 158: 188-92. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2014.02.036] [PMID: 24607453]
- [86] Fukuda T, Ishino Y, Ogawa A, Tsutsumi K, Morita H. Cr(VI) reduction from contaminated soils by *Aspergillus* sp. N2 and *Penicillium* sp. N3 isolated from chromium deposits. J Gen Appl Microbiol 2008; 54(5): 295-303. [http://dx.doi.org/10.2323/jgam.54.295] [PMID: 19029771]
- [87] Iram S, Parveen K, Usman J, et al. Heavy metal tolerance of filamentous fungal strains isolated from soil irrigated with industrial wastewater.

Biologija (Vilnius) 2012; 58(3): 107-16. [http://dx.doi.org/10.6001/biologija.v58i3.2527]

- [88] Debpali SU, Dutta W, Ghosh B, Ray RR. Optimization of biotechnological parameters and characterization of catalytic properties of thermostable polygalacturonase from a chromium tolerant strain of *Trichoderma pseudokoningii*. Innov Rom Food Biotechnol 2014; 14: 54-60.
- [89] El-Kassas HY, El-Taher EM. Optimization of batch process parameters by response surface methodology for mycoremediation of chrome-VI by a chromium resistant strain of marine *Trichoderma viride*. Agric Environ Sci 2009; 5(5): 676-81.
- [90] Shriram P, Nikita D, Prashant K, Anju K. Isolation and molecular characterization of chromium resistant fungi having competence to degrade anthracene from industrial effluent of South Gujarat. IOSR J Environ Sci Toxicol Food Technol 2014; 8(1): 2319-99. [http://dx.doi.org/10.9790/2402-08133037]
- [91] Davies FT Jr, Puryear JD, Newton RJ, Egilla JN. Saraiva Grossi JA. Mycorrhizal fungi enhance accumulation and tolerance of chromium in sunflower (*Helianthus annuus*). J Plant Physiol 2001; 158(6): 777-86. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1078/0176-1617-00311]
- [92] Bennett RM, Cordero PR, Bautista GS, Dedeles GR. Reduction of hexavalent chromium using fungi and bacteria isolated from contaminated soil and water samples. Chem Ecol 2013; 29(4): 320-8. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/02757540.2013.770478]
- [93] Sunitha R, Rajkishore SK. Biotransformation of hexavalent chromium as influenced by indigenous microbes in tannery effluent contaminated sites. Int J Sci Res 2013; 2(11): 234-6.
- [94] Sarkar S, Satheshkumar A, Premkumar R. Hexavalent chromium removal by live mycelium of a *Trichoderma harzianum* strain. Molecular Soil Biol 2013; 4(1): 1-6.
- [95] Kumar R, Sharma AK, Singh P, Dhir B, Mehta D. Potential of some fungal and bacterial species in bioremediation of heavy metals. J Nucl Phy Mat Sci Rad Appl 2014; 1: 213-23.
- [96] Sukumar M. Reduction of hexavalent chromium by Rhizopus oryzae. Afr J Environ Sci Technol 2010; 4(7): 412-8.

© Al-Battashi et al.; Licensee Bentham Open

This is an open access article licensed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Non-Commercial 4.0 International Public License (CC BY-NC 4.0) (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/legalcode), which permits unrestricted, non-commercial use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the work is properly cited.